8 October 2025 · CC-BY 4.0 Qeios

Peer Review

Review of: "Overdose Prevention Centers: Advancing Harm Reduction and the Right to Health in the United

States"

Melody Rachel Konadu Frempong¹

1. Washington University, Saint Louis, United States

The authors present a compelling argument advocating for harm reduction as an essential public health strategy aimed at minimizing the

 $negative\ consequences\ of\ substance\ use.\ They\ effectively\ highlight\ the\ evidence\ supporting\ harm\ reduction\ programs,\ including\ their$

role in reducing overdose deaths, preventing the spread of infectious diseases, and promoting engagement with treatment services.

While they argue these well, I think it's important that the authors also acknowledge the ongoing debate surrounding harm reduction,

noting that some critics argue such programs may inadvertently encourage continued drug use or normalize risky behaviors. By

recognizing these critiques while providing empirical evidence that challenges them, the authors offer a nuanced and balanced perspective. This acknowledgment will strengthen their argument by demonstrating that their advocacy for harm reduction is grounded

not only in compassion or a human rights perspective but also in scientific rigor and an awareness of the broader policy discourse.

I hope this is helpful.

Yes, here is an example of a scholarly paper on the critics of harm reduction https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7679315/.

What are some potential legal or policy challenges to expanding OPCs that the authors should address?

Some of the potential legal and policy challenges are outlined in one of my previously published papers, which emphasizes the

importance of effective collaboration with law enforcement agencies in the implementation of harm reduction programs. While this may

not directly reflect the situation in the U.S. context, the example illustrates how such partnerships can be critical in other settings where

legal and policy barriers influence the success of harm reduction initiatives. (Here is the link to the paper:

 $https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368717154_It_Saved_My_Life_Three_Times_LCould_Have_Died_Exploring_the_Perceptions_of_Peer-Index of Peer-Index of$

Administered_Naloxone_Program_in_Spain)

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

qeios.com doi.org/10.32388/22P7BL