

Review of: "Assessment of Children's Toys Suitability Index Instrument (Toy Index)"

Lidia Lauren Elías Hardy¹

¹ Universidad de La Habana

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

You can add Delphi fuzzy method, analytical hierarchical processing as keywords.

Section "Methods"

In Section "Methods" you wrote "indices". What is correct indices or index???

Section "Panel of Experts Criteria"

In Section "Panel of Experts Criteria" you wrote "in the field/matter studied". What is correct in the field/matter studied or studied field/matter???

You need to describe/characterize the experts who participated in the panel, at least: years of experiences, roll in the society, skills (profession). It is important. That information will provide more robustness to the results of your investigation.

Section "Research Method"

You wrote Fuzzy Delphi method and Delphi Fuzzy method indistinctly. You need to decide which of those you will use: Fuzzy Delphi method or Delphi Fuzzy method???

Constructs proposed or proposed constructs???

How many panels participated in the research??

- A panel of experts designed the indicators with their sub-indicators. And other panel answered the questionnaire, put in the weight of each indicator and sub-indicator???
- Or, The indicators and sub-indicators were designed by the panel of experts through discussions and then the researchers designed a questionnaire and applied it to each expert who answered it individually???

You need to rewrite this paragraph.

Is it possible to describe the seven levels of the Likert scale???

Did you consider "no answer" and "I don't know" possibilities???

You don't present the questionnaire. Ok, it is correct. But, you need to inform about the questions you ask. I suppose that

you need to confirm, for example, that each sub-indicator corresponds to the proposed indicator. But the reader needs to know the objectives of your evaluation.

You need to validate the designed instrument to evaluate the set of indicators/sub-indicators: the questionnaire. How you validated it? Alpha Cronbach coefficient, interquartile rank, media, median sound???

Section “Determination of Fuzzy Scale”

I think, it is necessary to describe how is transformed the seven level Likert scale to the linguistic scale. If you present the figure 1, you have the reason to present it. What is M , $MI(y)$, $Mr(y)$ and μM ???

Section “Results and Discussions”

Colour or color??? (first paragraph)

You obtained a set of indicators/sub-indicators as a result of the panel of expert discussions. How was obtained the set of indicators/sub-indicators presented in Table 1??? I don't refer to the method, I take in consideration the statistical analysis that proves, that those are the indicators and no others, and that each sub-indicators corresponds/contributes to the designed indicator and not to another. Some information you give in the first paragraph of this section but, that information is insufficient. What is the variable A ???

How was calculated the consensus of the panel of experts???

The authors discussed the contribution of those indicators and sub-indicators that obtained high values. No discussions about the rest of the indicators and sub-indicators.

It could be interesting, if the author discusses the contributions of the sub-indicators to their indicator using their local weights.

In the last paragraph of this section, the authors wrote: “The heterogeneous group formed as a result of the general respondent selection characteristic conducted randomly was a factor in the assessment results of this group that were different and inconsistent compared to the groups of experts”. Who are the “heterogeneous group”??? Where are the results of their responses??? The idea is not clear. I don't understand what the authors want to express.

Section “Conclusions”

What are the conclusions of your investigation??? What are your contributions to this field of knowledge? What are the limitations of your study???