

Review of: "Assessment of Children's Toys Suitability Index Instrument (Toy Index)"

João Zambujal-Oliveira¹

¹ Universidade da Madeira

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The abstract needs to be rewritten. It should contain a description of the research problem, the methodology, and its contributions. This information is there but it's combined with further details not relevant in this section. The section Methods is probably missing a more detailed and supported rationale about the fitness of using the methods used to approach the problem. Even considering a Panel of Experts Criteria, some literature should be considered to support the criteria included in the index. In the section Results and Discussions, it might be included a robustness analysis in order to verify the results achieved. The conclusions should clearly indicate the paper's contributions. Even considering the paper interesting, the small dimension of this section, might evidence some lack of relevant contributions. Therefore this last section deserves to be deepened.