

Review of: "Biliary Complications Following Liver Transplantation: The First Single-Center Tunisian Experience"

Vandana Saluja

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract: Please do not use terms like 'no study was interested'... we can write that the biliary complications have not been studied.

The number of liver transplantations is very low in a span of 20 years. Therefore, it is difficult to study such a small number, and complications will also be higher in such cases.

Yet the authors' efforts for reviewing and publishing their complications are commendable.

The Introduction is lacking a relevant review of the literature with no references. It has to be written in more detail. The manuscript should be written in the past tense.

The study design is very vaguely written. There is no mention of how the retrospective data collection was done. The definition of biliary complications should be a part of the study design.

Please organize and rewrite the study design and methods in detail and more systematically.

How many patients met which exclusion criteria?

There is no table in the entire manuscript. There is no comparative data for patients with and without biliary complications. Was there any difference in the living donor or deceased donor group?

The Discussion needs to be written in more detail. What are the other risk factors which have been reported, but you did not find in your study? The explanation given for portal hypertension and anemia causing biliary complications is not convincing. Please do a more thorough literature review and give a better explanation.

There is no mention of the center experience or number of cases as risk factors for biliary complications. That point should also be discussed with the help of the literature.

The entire manuscript is filled with grammatical errors.