

# Review of: "Health Outcome and Economic Growth: The Case of Malaria in Nigeria"

Alemayehu Temesgen Befikadu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ambo University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Recommendations for "Health Outcome and Economic Growth: The Case of Malaria in Nigeria"

- **Qeios – BU2E69**

- I'd like to convey the following remarks that I've seen.

- **Title:** Good and not used often, but what if you modify the title to "Effect/Impact/Factors/Nexus of Health Outcome and Economic Growth: The Case of Malaria in Nigeria"? As such, it would be great.
- **Abstract:** Good, but inculcate results and discussions in the abstract sections. Small keywords that consist of three to four big terms are required.
- **Introduction:** You do have one big paragraph that talks about many concepts like "Economic Growth," "Health contribution," health position, improvement, etc. A well-written paragraph should have to discuss only one thing. You should have to support the "healthcare services in Nigeria with the collaboration of cloistered and open sectors" with research works. What was the impact and relationship of the "Wagner law" and "health outcome"? But the other theory was good.
- **Methodology:** Data from 1985 to 2023? At least should inculcate 2022 and 23. From the ARDL model results, most of the variables are not significant at 1% and 5% level. Specially, Current Health Expenditure was not significant, which directly goes with your title. Try the method with VECM, ARIMA, or VAR. At least incorporate model specification tests, causality tests, model diagnosis tests, and etc.
- **Data analysis:** Good, list of figures should have to be mentioned and have to be used in the body of the research.
- **Policy implications:** Good