

## Review of: "The Residential Property Price Impact of Luas Investments"

Monsurat Ayojimi Salami<sup>1</sup>

1 Ankara University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript title "The Residential Property Price Impact of Luas Investments" is interesting. However, the manuscript is not presented academically. The manuscript reflected the citation issues. In nearly the first two pages, there is no evidence to back most of the claims that the author made except in the last paragraph, where the author cited 1 article. It is essential to make reference to other related works that could support the argument raised in the manuscript.

It is also found that the author(s) used a substantial part of the introduction to discuss the methodology employed in the study. I would rather suggest to the author(s) to limit those methodological-related discussions in the introduction to a few sentences within a paragraph if not completely removed.

The author also makes use of personal pronouns in several sections of the manuscript which requires restructuring. Several sections of the manuscript are repetitive; the authors need to avoid repetition the topic is very interesting but makes it confusing. Thorough restructuring is required for this manuscript.

Most articles cited in the related literature are old, and the focus of the related literature needs well-expressed and structured. A good summary of the related manuscript is expected at the end of the review of the related literature, which is completely missing. The author should have structured the review in such a way that could enhance him/her to develop hypotheses.

The section on Data needs to be split into data and methods. The repetition of methodology should give an appropriate subsection under the methodology only. Not in almost every section of the manuscript.

Descriptive statistics (Tables) as well as figures (1 & 2) under the data section need to be moved to the empirical finding section. However, no clear section differentiates the finding from empirical methodology, which poses another challenge in this manuscript.

The conclusion section also needs to focus on the findings rather than repeating the earlier discussions on the methodology. Suggestions for future research while acknowledging the limitations of their findings are essential.

References are old and small in the unit. Therefore, the author has to convince the reader that the research topic should be conducted now.

In general, thorough restructuring, deletion of a series of repetitions and the need to draw meaningful hypotheses that



support the study objectives are essential.