

# Review of: "The Role of Green Legacy in Promoting Sustainable Development and Combating Climate Change"

Michael Reed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Kentucky

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper needs to be thoroughly edited. There are incomplete sentences, missing words, awkward syntax, and unclear meaning in many places.

The Literature Review section is full of material that should be in the Introduction or deleted. Only the first three paragraphs in the section are literature review, and those three paragraphs don't cover what needs to be presented. Discuss the literature that bears on this topic, not ancillary material.

The Conceptual Framework (section 2.5) is part of the literature review (section 2). The Conceptual Framework needs to be its own section.

Much of the Summary of the Research Methodology had little value. Putting these statements in boxes didn't help either. I suggest putting it in regular paragraph form and only cover the essential parts of the methodology.

The reader doesn't know enough about the survey. You interviewed 120 experts from these green legacy projects. What was their expertise? What questions were asked? Are you only getting their opinions, or do you obtain factual data about the green legacy projects?

The analysis is difficult to judge until one knows the questions asked and therefore the measure for "the change in climate and sustainable development."