

# Review of: "Strategies for Management and Long-term Surveillance of Pediatric Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: Balancing Efficacy and Quality of Life"

Antonino Maniaci<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Catania

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Abstract:

- The abstract provides a broad overview but lacks specifics. Consider including a brief mention of some key points from the results/discussion sections.
- Avoid vague language like "unique challenges". Be more direct about what those challenges are.

## Introduction:

- The introduction covers relevant background but would benefit from more focus. Consider narrowing in on 1-2 knowledge gaps that this review aims to address.
- Add citations when stating epidemiological statistics and facts.
- The objective of the review should be clearly stated in the last paragraph of the introduction.

## Methods:

- A methods section should be added to describe the approach for the literature review, search strategies, inclusion/exclusion criteria, screening process, etc. Lack of a rigorous methods section reduces confidence in the completeness of the literature covered.

## Results:

- Structure the results section better with sub-sections instead of long paragraphs. Use more headings and sub-headings.
- Cite references for all epidemiological, clinical, and genetic facts stated. Avoid making unsupported claims.
- The description of molecular characteristics is confusing and requires more explanation of specific gene mutations.

## Discussion:

- The discussion section is well-written but quite long. Consider reducing content to focus on the most salient points.

- Discuss limitations of the current literature and evidence gaps that need further research.
- Tie back discussion points to the objectives stated in the introduction.