

Review of: "Towards a model-based approach: applications to historical demography and palaeodemography"

James Williamson¹

¹ Memorial University of Newfoundland

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I found the ideas in this article interesting, but it needs a deep revision. The arguments are intriguing, but there are severe flaws. In particular, I can see deep issues with grammar and the examples given to flesh out ideas.

This paper would work as a conference script, but as an article, it requires a lot of work. There are several awkward phrases and a lot of grammatical errors. This paper should be reviewed in depth by a native English speaker.

The two archaeological models discussed need a deeper review, and you should spend less time on historical models. Many of the other examples in this paper suffer from the same.

It would help if you redrew the figures. The first and third figures are illegible, while the second and fourth look untidy. I suggest using the R visualization library ggplot or something similar.