

Review of: "Bell's theorem is an exercise in the statistical theory of causality"

Michail Tsagris

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The notation and the wording is rather difficult to follow the paper. For instance, who is Bob and Alice, and who is Charlie. I cannot understand how the 2 Figures are related, this is not explained in the paper. There is no introduction, no main results section, no conclusions.

In the beginning of the paper the author claims that Kupczynski said the inequalities cannot be derived, but right after that he corrects his wording by saying that the the researcher said they may not be derived (within parentheses).

In the theory of BNs, A and B in Figure 2 are indepedent conditionally on the experimenter, they are not independent as they are. Secondly, BNs are not defined in terms of DAGs (abstract), they are a special case of DAGs.

These are some comments only, that could not facilitate (in my opinion) a clear conclusion about what the author states is right or wrong. His arguments are vague, in my opinion.

Qeios ID: 2DO4K2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/2DO4K2