

Review of: "[Viewpoint] Vaccination campaigns against Covid-19 may promote vaccine hesitancy toward mostly well-established, safe, and effective vaccines"

Camelia Cmeciu1

1 University of Bucharest

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is rather a viewpoint on vaccination campaigns against COVID-19. It presents some contextual background. When reading the title (that should be changed, in my opinion), I thought that it included a survey or an analysis of some campaign materials (posts and comments, foe example). While reading, I thought that maybe it includes some literature review on the topic. But it doesn't. Some sentences need references. For example, "Trust in public institutions has been a key factor in the acceptance of vaccines in the past.". So my suggestion is for the authors to write a methodological section and then analyse the results. Or if it is a literature review, to go through all the steps included in a proper literature review.

Qeios ID: 2F4FCY · https://doi.org/10.32388/2F4FCY