

Review of: "Unravelling the Phytochemical and Pharmacognosy Contour of Traditional Medicinal Plant: Pterocarpus Marsupium Roxb"

Samuel Ugheighele¹

¹ Benson Idahosa University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Check for grammatical and typographical errors.
2. Check for joining words.
3. Use recent citations to reflect recent occurrences.
4. Use multiple citations to reflect numerous studies.
5. Citations in the body of the paper should be properly done.
6. Scientific / botanical names should be written in full when they appear at the beginning of any sentence.
7. The aim and objectives of this review paper should be clearly stated as the last statement in the last paragraph of the introduction.
8. Some corrections have been made on the pdf (author's pdf) to serve as a guide. Authors should revise the entire document to reflect these corrections.
9. The paper did not do justice in attempting to unite the phytoconstituents and pharmacological activities of the plant as stated in the abstract. For the antidiabetic, anthelmintic, antihyperlipidemic, hepatoprotective and memory enhancing activities, there were no speculated relationships shown between the phytoconstituents and the pharmacological effect of the plant. Therefore, the authors should properly attempt to link all pharmacological activities listed to the phytoconstituents in the plant. Since the phytochemical profile of the plant has been extensively studied as well as some compounds isolated from the plant. The attempt of linking these constituents to the pharmacological activities of the plant is possible since most of these constituents have been individually investigated for their pharmacological activities.