

Review of: "The Students and Faculty Perception of Digital Citizenship Practices in Distance Learning Environment – The Case Study of Al-Quds Open University"

Client William Malinao1

1 Ifugao State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

"The Students and Faculty Perception of Digital Citizenship Practices in Distance Learning Environment – The Case Study of Al-Quds Open University"

Qeios ID: 2G2GL7 · https://doi.org/10.32388/2G2GL7



| Title: | Good |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Abstract: | Good (The authors followed the IMRAD format). May add contribution or implications. |
| Introduction/ Literature Review | What is the gap of previous research on "digital citizenship"? Provide a gap between literatures to justify the need to conduct the study. Provide a clear justification the reason behind the conduct of the study. Possibly may follow the common way of writing the introduction. Provide background, the research gap, and the proposed solution after completion of the study- that is expected contribution of the study to the theory and practice. |
| Methodology Participants | What specific design of mixed methods did the researcher use? Clearly explain on what aspect of the study the researcher specifically used the qualitative part and quantitative part. Clearly specify the criteria in selecting the participants. When did the researcher stop gathering data and arrived at 8 faculty members? Still, may change the way of giving 'codes' for faculty participants. Example, The Dean of Media Faculty can be easily determined. |
| Procedure and Interview | Explain the process of instrument validation, including observance of health protocols during the interview with faculty members. How about ethical considerations observed since the researcher involves human participants? What statistical treatment were used for quantitative part? How did the researcher analyze qualitative data? Through software or manually done. |
| Analysis | May provide demographic profile of students to have a bird's eye view of respondents in a tabular form. |
| Findings and Discussion | It is important to highlight the common responses of participants for qualitative part. Align findings for each specific objective of the study to ensure attainment of each purpose of the study. "Is there a difference between the students practice of digital citizenship in distance learning based on their age, gender or study level?" question is not found in the results and discussion. May provide discussion per specific objective of the study to ensure attainment of each purpose of the study. Provide implications to theory and practice. May use 2 nd and 3 rd level of interpretation to enrich discussion of the paper. |
| Conclusions | Should conclude significant findings |
| Recommendations | Should be anchored from the results and discussions or significant findings. |
| References | Please follow journal format of referencing. |
| General Comments | Follow format of the journal Follow in-text citation and refencing. Please refer to the journal format. Ensure all cited authors are reflected in the references. If possible, provide literature reviews that are timely (10 years back). Avoid using the first person point of view like "we, I" |
| | |

