

# Review of: "A brief overview on COVID-19 and its comparison with SARS, MERS, and H1N1."

Mohammad Reza Amiri

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Article title: [A brief overview on COVID-19 and its comparison with SARS, MERS, and H1N1.](#)

The Following comments are raised :

1. In some parts of the article, a large number of references are written at the end of the sentence. In my opinion, it is possible to reduce the number and limit to a few valid references.
2. The article needs to be edited. Some words are misspelled. The article has grammatical and writing problems. In some parts, you have not used abbreviations correctly.
3. How was the quality of the articles included in this study evaluated?
4. In some parts of the article, instead of the Covid-19 pandemic, you have used the Covid-19 epidemic, which needs to be corrected.
5. In some parts of the article, you stated that Covid-19 has become a scary global epidemic. It would have been better if this article had been written earlier. Currently, according to the WHO, Covid-19 is out of emergency.
6. In some parts of the article, you stated that studies have shown that the average age of patients with Covid-19 was about 47 years. Of course, in other parts of the article, you have mentioned other numbers. These studies were conducted in adults and did not include the age range of children. It is better to mention this.
7. In this study, mostly the published articles about covid-19 in adults are mentioned. It would be better if you included published articles about children.
8. It is suggested to use more recent references and discuss the information provided. For example, children are less prone to Covid-19, it was mostly based on early reports and mainly related to China. More recent articles have challenged this. It is better not to dominate the bibliography of your article with studies conducted in China and use studies conducted in other countries as well.
9. Regarding the Mechanism of 2019-nCoV action, several hypotheses have been stated, but you have not mentioned all of them.
10. The references you have used to prepare this article are mostly related to studies conducted in China and related to the early outbreak of Covid-19 (the year 2020). After that, more recent articles have been published and it is worth using them.
11. The section on "Diagnosis of Covid-19" is not accurate and complete.

12. On the topic of Chest CT scan, what do you mean by "severe patients infected ..."?
13. Five lines to the end of the third page, do you mean dry cough?
14. In the first 3 lines of the topic "Comparison with H1N1", which you probably mean H1N1 flu, please specify the reference.
15. According to the comments provided, the topic of the conclusion needs to be revised.
16. The article does not have the subject of limitations of the study.

Thank you.