

Peer Review

Review of: "Driving Circular Innovation: From Business Model to Industrial Ecosystems"

Parisa Omidmand¹

1. Department of Economics, Texas Tech University, United States

The manuscript is timely; however, the methodology needs more transparency about how the literature was gathered and selected. You mention Scopus and Google Scholar and a set of keywords, but the paper should state the time window, basic inclusion/exclusion criteria, and a rough count of sources screened versus included. This is standard practice and helps readers judge how comprehensive the review is.

Also, the discussion and conclusion are currently quite general. It would be more valuable to end by identifying a few specific research gaps and offering clearer practical implications.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.