

Review of: "Social Perception of Male Faces. Individuals, Clusters, Dimensions"

Sudhakar Vundavalli

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to peer review this manuscript. Below mentioned are my observations about this manuscript -

Title and Abstract: No suggestions

Introduction: Vague and should have followed either the Funnel or Seminar approach

Refer: https://www.apu.edu/live_data/files/288/introductions_and_conclusions.pdf

Methods: No suggestions

Results: No suggestions

Discussion: Results were logically discussed but not compared to any published studies.

Example: odorov A, Funk F, Olivola CY. Response to Bonnefon et al.: Limited 'kernels of truth' in facial inferences. Trends Cogn. Sci. 2015;19:422–423. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2015.05.013.

In general, this manuscript was described in common man language and needs professional (academic) English.

Thanks and Regards,