

Review of: "A Survey Study on Women Entrepreneurship in the BTR Area of Assam"

Ademola Adegoroye¹

¹ Kent State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The chapters 1 and 2, which are the introduction and literature review, weren't referenced in the body of the work, but to my surprise, I saw references at the end of the manuscript.
2. Concerning Methodology, there are so many questions to be asked:
 - i. The writer said she used purposive random sampling. What sampling method did you use to come up with the 5000 respondents?
 - ii. Despite the fact that there are 5 districts in the BTR area of Assam, why did you neglect one of the districts, and why did you use 4 as your study area? Why did you omit the Tamulpur district? No reason for this was given in the body of the work, which makes it biased.
 - iii. You determined the representative sample by using sampling techniques such as simple random sampling in selecting the four districts; then you can use purposive sampling in selecting respondents and other sampling techniques too. E.g., BTR Area ----- Districts ----- Villages (Respondents).
1. Concerning Data Analysis, economic tools used to run analysis were not mentioned. The author used the Likert scale, descriptive statistics such as frequency and percentage, which weren't mentioned. Also, during the analysis, there was no implication of the variables the writer analyzed such as age, educational qualification, marital status, etc.; also, the author did not back up her result analysis with previous literature if it aligns or contradicts the writer's results.
2. Also, the outcome of the results was more than 100% in percentage, and the frequency too was more than 5000 respondents, hence something is actually wrong with her data analysis, specifically the descriptive statistics.
3. Conclusively, the conclusion and recommendations are generic, and the recommendations were not according to the findings of the paper.