

Review of: "How many papers are published each week reporting on trials of interventions involving behavioural aspects of health?"

Nurdan Sezgin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a systematic examination worth reading and quoting. It does, however, have some weak points that can be repaired.

I assume that the study's introduction is insufficient. Although the method is well explained, I would have expected Scopus and WOS to be included in addition to PubMed. Furthermore, there is no data comparison with directly related or similar publications in the discussion section, which makes this section slightly weak. It would be more accurate to change the title to "discussion and conclusion" when you add the conclusion section at the end of the discussion. Otherwise, readers may characterize it as a missing section.