

# Review of: "Airbase Compatibility with Spatial Planning: A Case Study of Airbase Waterkloof (South Africa)"

Roberto Nascimbene<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Istituto Universitario di Studi Superiori

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The technical content of the paper is good enough. Research is clear and well-balanced but it should be improved before publication in some points:

1. Reviewer does not like acronyms used in the Abstract. Abstract should be as much readable as possible. I suggest to move the acronyms (such as SANDF and SAAF) in the main body of the paper at the point they first appear.
2. In the title of the paper why using "(South Africa)" and not simply "Waterkloof in South Africa".
3. Authors say "An aerodrome is a stimulus to society from the standpoint of economic growth and the services it offers to the public." This is true and is a key point. May I suggest to add some new references on the topic: DOI 10.15632/jtam-pl/119017 and doi: 10.1007/s11069-017-3072-3
4. Is it really needed a point mark "What is an airbase?" Please think to a new title.
5. Can the authors improve quality of Figure 1 ??