

# Review of: "Weathering changes – livelihood adaptation to weather shocks in rural India by disadvantaged social groups"

Juan Alberto Gran Castro<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de Guadalajara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. From the summary and introduction of the article, it would be worthwhile to define which disadvantaged groups are being considered in the analysis and why they are disadvantaged. For example, whether they are specific groups such as migrants or indigenous peoples.
2. The acronym NREGS is mentioned twice. I suggest that you define these acronyms to improve reading fluency.
3. There is a description of the situation of disadvantaged groups in the introduction. I suggest that this be integrated before the issues related to climate change impacts. That is, start with the social conditions and then the climatic conditions.
4. The issue of migration as an axis of analysis takes time to develop. It is only in the research questions that we become aware of this central element of the study. I suggest that the title, summary and introduction should be clearer about the role of migration in this study.
5. In the research questions section, the research questions could be presented in a format that makes them easier to read. I suggest using a table that identifies at least the analytical variables and the research questions. It would even be useful to anticipate a methodological element in such a table, since there are many analytical factors that could lead to doubts about the quality of the results.
6. In the "Data" section, I suggest that it be devoted only to the description of the data used, without mentioning other studies as background. Up to this point, enough studies have been cited to comply with the research background. It is not necessary to cite more.
7. The "Data" section also requires a map of the study area. Although the scale is sufficient, we must recognize that not all readers may have the geographic reference at hand.
8. Finally, in the "Data" section, I suggest including a graphic element (table or figure) that summarizes the data analyzed. This will allow the reader to see at a glance what information is being presented.
9. The conclusions are somewhat descriptive. I suggest that they be more explicit in stating the main finding of the study and in identifying future areas of research as well as possible alternatives that may lead to concrete solutions to the



problem under study.