

Review of: "#CapTheCrossBronx: Grassroots Advocacy & Public Health Research to Secure Federal Funding for Reimagining the Cross Bronx Expressway (Policy & Practice Commentary)"

Pere Vall-Casas

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I suggest to place the contribution of the article in the context of urban health research translation and implementation process. Reviews on the literature on the science and practice of translating health research into urban policy and planning could help (e.g. Mazzucca et al 2021. "Expanding Implementation Research to Prevent Chronic Diseases in Community Settings"; Lowe et al 2019. "Evidence-Informed Planning for Healthy Liveable Cities: How Can Policy Frameworks Be Used to Strengthen Research Translation?"). From this wider perspective, authors could:

- 1) Reconsider the presentation of the case study as an "unusual example of how quantitative research was translated into a policy..." Cost benefit analyses of healthy built environment interventions are increasingly used to satisfy the demand of policy makers for economic justifications of urban projects.
- 2) Highlight the adaptation of the research translation strategy to the local political context, through specific: 2.1) translation products (i.e. documents and tools to translate evidence -briefs, reports, tools...- that attempt to communicate the application of research findings in policies and projects); and 2.2) Knowledge brokers.