Review of: "[Review] Redefining the Concept of e-Government Program. A Review of the Literature"

Nur Azaliah Abu Bakar[1]

1 Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

The paper titled "[Review] Redefining the Concept of e-Government Program. A Review of the Literature" attempts to explore the concept of e-Government programs but falls short in certain aspects. Below is a constructive review highlighting both the strengths and areas for improvement:

1. Introduction and Background:

The paper's introduction and background provide a decent starting point, but it lacks a comprehensive overview of the worldwide scenario concerning e-Government programs. Consider expanding on the global context, including recent developments and trends in various regions, to better contextualize the research problem and its relevance.

2. Literature Review:

While the literature review demonstrates effort, there is room for improvement. As mentioned, some of the sources cited appear outdated. It would be beneficial to include more recent and cutting-edge studies to strengthen the paper's foundation. Additionally, incorporating real-life examples from contemporary e-Government programs would enhance the reader's understanding and engagement with the subject matter.

3. Methodology:

The paper's methodology raises concerns as it lacks a scientific and academically rigorous approach. It would be beneficial to clarify the methodology used to conduct the review. Consider utilizing systematic review techniques or other appropriate research methodologies to ensure the analysis is based on reliable and up-to-date sources. This will help establish a strong foundation for the findings and conclusions presented.

4. Analysis:

The analysis in the paper needs improvement. Without a clear underpinning theory or robust methodology, the findings might be considered doubtful or lacking credibility. It is crucial to establish a solid theoretical framework to guide the analysis and ensure a more reliable interpretation of the literature reviewed. Consider revisiting the analysis section and incorporating relevant theories or models to support your arguments effectively.

5. General Work:

The overall work shows potential, but more efforts are needed to refine and strengthen the paper. Addressing the issues raised above, such as updating the literature, improving the methodology, and enhancing the analysis, will significantly contribute to the paper's quality. Additionally, consider providing practical recommendations or future research directions

based on the findings to add value to the study.

In conclusion, the paper "Redefining the Concept of e-Government Program. A Review of the Literature" has some promising aspects, but there are areas that require further attention and development. By incorporating more recent sources, refining the methodology, and providing a solid theoretical foundation, the paper can become a more robust and impactful contribution to the field of e-Government research. Keep up the good work and use this feedback to enhance the overall quality of the paper.