Review of: "Procedural Sedation in Dentistry Using Alpha-2 Agonists: Clinical Cases"

Lubna Al-Gailani

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

The language: some sentences could be clarified to improve the over all flow and coherence. Some sentences are quite long and complex. Some sentences could benefit from punctuation adjustment for improve readability.

In general, the abstract lack the about the clinical cases and the quantifiable benefit of using dexmedetomidine in procedural sedation. It is an abstract for a full research paper, readers might expect more detailed result and discussion. The abstract itself could be benefit from more specific finding and outcomes from the clinical cases to make more informative and compelling.

Introduction

The introduction is quite lengthy and contain several complex sentences. The introduction should clearly state the purpose of the paper, the research question or main argument. In this case it is not evident what the main purpose of the paper will be. The introduction lacks clear structure. The introduction should highlight any existing gaps in the literature that the paper aims to address. Language of the introduction has few grammatical issues that need attention.

Anesthesiologist support

The passage is quite lengthy and detailed, which may affect the readability. Some details, such as the specific time of transfer to the recovery room might be considered redundant unless there is a specific reason for highlighting that precise moment. The over all quality of the passage need to be improve.

Conclusion

The lack of quantitative data or specific examples in certain point make the conclusion less precise. Some point lacks sufficient details make the conclusion less comprehensive.