

Review of: "In-Vitro Antibacterial Activity of some Ganoderma Species: A Review"

Monnanda Somaiah Nalini¹

¹ University of Mysore

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviewer's comments on Qeios manuscript ID 65JGU9 entitled "In-Vitro Antibacterial Activity of some Ganoderma Species: A Review"

”

COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

The review paper submitted by Arora et al. describes the antibacterial potentials of *Ganoderma* species. The paper is certainly of interest from the microbiology standpoint, but it certainly can be accepted for publication after thorough corrections and incorporations. The abstract of the paper needs to be changed in light of the results. The authors are hereby advised to incorporate the results of the zone of inhibition in the antibacterial assay, as well as the photographs of various *Ganoderma* species. The title is OK, but the introduction begins with diabetic foot ulcers. Instead, the introduction must begin with *Ganoderma* species. Overall, the communication in the paper can be improved and needs attention. Therefore, on the basis of the above shortcomings, the paper certainly needs revision and submission.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review paper on "In-Vitro Antibacterial Activity of some *Ganoderma* Species: A Review" is certainly of interest. Here are some of the questions that could be of interest in improving the manuscript:

1. The title of the paper must match the content
2. Impact and significance of the study and the abstract need to be improvised and must be rephrased
3. The Introduction must start with *Ganoderma* spp. and their importance, not diabetic foot ulcers
4. Since the manuscript does not contain line numbers, it is extremely difficult to point out each mistake, and overall, the manuscript must be checked for incomplete sentences, vocabulary, and grammatical errors using online software tools.
5. Results representing the antibiotic effect of the extracts must be presented well. Results must be well written in the

abstract section, and discussion in the manuscript should be carefully rewritten.

Therefore, owing to the above-mentioned points, the manuscript is not suitable for publication in its current form in Qeios and should therefore be revised and submitted with utmost caution.