

Review of: "The Global Impact on Health of Dental Infections and Antibiotic Resistance: A mini Reveiw"

Sakshaleni Rajendiran¹

1 Ministry of Health Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript addresses a crucial topic as antibiotic resistance poses a significant global health concern. However, there are a few points that need to be addressed:

Title:

1. Please correct the spelling of "mini Review" in the title section.

Abstract:

- 1. The abstract is very verbose and lacks a smooth flow, which could be challenging for readers to grasp the key points.
- 2. The aim of the article is unclear.
- 3. "The terms used in this review include dental, oral, maxillofacial infection, resistance pattern, epidemiology, common microorganisms, nutrition components, biofilm formation, morbidity, mortality, and increased healthcare costs" Suggest to specify it "as the keywords used for search engines."
- 4. Suggested to streamline the abstract introduction, methods, results and/or discussion, and conclusion.

Main article:

- 1. It is nicely written by introducing dental infection and antibiotic resistance in the Introduction section. However, there are repetitive statements in this section which are suggested to be avoided for a better flow of reading.
- 2. Additionally, it would be good to have the aim of the review within the introduction.
- 3. Moreover, it is suggested to include a more detailed explanation of the method for the literature search since it was briefly mentioned in the abstract.
- 4. It was mentioned that "Overall, this review highlights the significant impact of dental infections and resistance on global health, including increased morbidity and mortality rates and rising healthcare costs" in the abstract section. However, I could not find the relevant findings and discussion related to increased mortality rates and rising healthcare costs in the main article. Suggested to include literature evidence for the mentioned statements. Furthermore, there is a lack of depth in the discussion section.
- 5. There is an absence of a clear conclusion in the main article.
- 6. In general, this manuscript requires language editing.

