

Review of: "[Review Article] Interventional Radiology And CT Scan in SARS-COV-2: A Review"

Pedro Crosara Motta¹

¹ Universidade Federal do Ceará

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I deem the paper as relevant to most readers if some corrections are made. However, I have some questions and considerations:

Introduction

- You should further explain Figure 1 after presenting it;
- “Elective diagnosis and screening approaches are required due to an increasing number of infected individuals and a lack of testing kits in impacted locations [6].”
 - This statement and reference 6 are outdated for the paper’s publishing date;
- You could state the objectives of your paper at the end of the introduction.

4.3. CT scan findings in SARS-COV2

- “...lower fake negative rates than RT-PCR.”
 - It is better to write “false negative rates.”
- “Bernheim et al. explain that CT was common in 56 percent “of patients during 0-2 days after symptoms appeared...”
 - Should it be “... that CT findings were common...”?

Conclusion

- “Future studies and research should look into the impacts, according to the authors.”
 - Is this sentence correct?

I also suggest adding a summary subsection at the end of your sections, providing a paragraph summarizing the section.