

Review of: "Implementing Machine Learning to predict the 10-year risk of Cardiovascular Disease"

Heamn Abduljabbar¹

1 Salahaddin University - Erbil

Potential competing interests: Authors need to highlight the gap of the literature and the rule of their study more clearly in the study generally from the abstract to the conclusion, the second point is to use the same models on another dataset to make comparison and prediction for their findings. Finally i recommend this work to be published after major revision. many thanks to the editors, and good luck for the authors.

The study needs to be revised then published, the revision should start from the abstract to the conclusion. Authors need to highlight the gap of the related studies and their findings that spotting the study rule much more clearly, then there should be another dataset to be used on the same models to ensure the precision, performance, and accuracy of the models so that the proposed models can be generalized on each dataset with different variables, finally the conclusion part to be specified more about the rule of ML in predicting the CVD risk factors.

I recommend this article to be published after major revision.

Many thanks to the editors, and well done authors.