

Review of: "Shopping bags: own or plastic? Theoretical explanation of pro-environment consumer behavior in Vietnam"

Amy He

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviewer comments to the author

The methodology of this article has some novelty, but the research does not clearly consider why this research is necessary for Vietnam. The research question needs to be raised in the context of Vietnam's economic, social, and environmental context.

It is necessary to explain in detail in the first part of the document what are the real problems in waste management policy in Vietnam. For example, the high proportion of shopping bags in waste, inadequate waste sorting policies, and difficulties in final disposal... should be discussed.

It is recommended to review the presentation of the methodology, e.g. why this approach was chosen, whether the hypothesis was confirmed, which variables were used, etc.

The representativeness of the sample does not seem to be adequately ensured. Sampling is an important aspect of social research and it is essential that sampling methods are carefully considered and documented to ensure the reliability and validity of the survey. However, the study does not discuss whether the sampled individuals meet specific quotas based on demographic characteristics.

The abstract needs some improvement; it would be better to include the following five questions in your abstract.

- Why did you do it?
- What did you do?
- How did you do it?
- What did you find out?
- And what does that mean?

The last section should be improved. Conclusions should include a summary of findings, contributions, implications, limitations/suggestions for future work, etc.

Wish you success.