

Peer Review

Review of: "Case of Lajpat Nagar Market in New Delhi: Creation and Execution of a Street Vendor Survey for Observing Public Health and Order in a Non-Vending Zone"

Hany Akeel Al-hussaniy¹

1. University of Baghdad, Iraq

The commentary "Case of Lajpat Nagar Market in New Delhi: Creation and Execution of a Street Vendor Survey for Observing Public Health and Order in a Non-Vending Zone" presents a relevant urban policy issue and provides useful field-based observational data linking street vending practices with public health, order, and regulatory challenges. The study's strength lies in developing a structured observational checklist and applying geospatial mapping, which adds practical policy relevance. However, the article shows several weaknesses, including reliance on a short-term observational design (four days only), lack of statistical or inferential analysis, and absence of stakeholder perspectives such as vendors, residents, or enforcement authorities, which limits contextual understanding. Additionally, the study lacks comparative benchmarking with other regulated vending zones or cities, and some conclusions appear policy-oriented rather than evidence-driven. To improve article quality, the authors should extend the data collection duration, incorporate mixed-method approaches including interviews or surveys, apply stronger statistical and spatial analytical methods, and include comparative regulatory frameworks to strengthen generalizability and policy impact.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.