

Review of: "Materialization Mechanics: Bridging Physics to The Biblical Gospel of Prosperity"

Oleksandr Kholod¹

¹ Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Response to an article by the Honorable Dr. David Leong

I pay tribute to the respected Dr. D. Leong for coming close to analyzing the sacred topic of the parallel mechanics of the materialization of the spiritual principle. I read with pleasure and critical analysis. I have several thoughts and comments for the author.

1. Dear Dr. D. Leong, you state that *"The mechanics of materialization provide a holistic framework for understanding how the conscious alignment of human intention with divine principles shapes individual and collective reality"* (see Introduction, paragraph 2). I think that the fact of matching human intentions is impossible without God's will, since, as you rightly noted earlier, *"God created people"* (see Introduction, paragraph 1). Therefore, if God created people, he also created the ability of man to form human intentions. From here, we come to the logical conclusion that you cannot talk about agreement between man and God.
2. A question arises regarding the term "mechanics of materialization" proposed by the author. Dr. D. Leong, you call the mentioned term *"a new terminological construction outlining an integrative theoretical framework that synthesizes the fundamental principles of quantum physics with the doctrinal elements of the biblical Prosperity Gospel"* (see Introduction, paragraph 3). In my opinion, the term "mechanics of materialization" emphasizes only the meaning of quantum mechanics, not the "Gospel". For example, the term "quantum nature of the Gospel" or "quantum Gospel" would be more in line with the idea of an integrated theoretical framework that you, my colleague, write about.
3. Dear Dr. D. Leong, You state, *"in the quantum or spiritual realm, the conscious intention to shape reality is a common characteristic"* (see p. 5 of the manuscript). It is not entirely clear to me: "in the quantum" or "spiritual realm". Are you equating the quantum realm with the spiritual realm? Is it appropriate and correct to identify the mentioned two spheres? I do not agree with you, colleague, because, first of all, the quantum sphere is based on the possibility of the Observer choosing a certain position of the atom, and the spiritual dimension does not at all provide for the possibility as the main category. The Lord gives a person the opportunity already in the fact that a person has his own mind and not the Mind of the Universe (although the latter absorbs the mind of a person and controls it). Already from this position, it is incorrect, in my opinion, to identify the quantum and spiritual spheres. In addition, I suspect that you and I, my colleague, have different ideas about the Observer. I consider the Observer not the Almighty, but, according to the Copenhagen version of the quantum theory, the conditional position of the experimenter, who carries out a conditional measurement (observation).

4. On the village 5 you, colleague, wrote down the phrase "co-creation with the divine." I do not think that such a combination should be considered fair, since a person cannot be admitted to the process of co-creation with God. As you noted earlier (see point 1), God created man, therefore, everything that man does belongs to God and is possible (in the quantum sense) only with God's permission, because He creates. Man cannot be in co-creation with Him. If you affirm the fact of "co-creation" between God and man, then you affirm their equality, which is already an incorrect statement.
5. I am pleased to read the lines: *"Combining the principles of quantum physics with the spiritual laws of prosperity described in the Bible, this article presents an interdisciplinary approach to understanding how consciousness and faith intersect with the fabric of reality, contributing to the development of spiritual physics"* (see p. 6 of the manuscript) . I believe that you, my colleague, are right about the interdisciplinary nature of not only the content of your article, but also the possibility of conducting research that intersects the knowledge of various spheres and branches of human thinking. The truths written in the "Bible" are stable, time-tested and not subject to critical analysis. Instead, your desire to combine divine scripture with quantum theory (Copenhagen interpretation) is commendable! Thank you for such an attempt!
6. The analysis carried out by you, Dr. D. Leong, reflected in the table, is worthy of respect. 1 (see pp. 7–12). If you had provided the criteria for selecting the citations, you listed in column 1, your analysis would have won.
7. Those hermeneutic, as you think, interpretations that you submitted in column 3 of the table. 1 (see pp. 7–12), also excite me. The only remark arises: it would be worthwhile, in my opinion, not only to provide references to fragments of the "Gospel", but also to provide parallel quotations and references to theorists of quantum theory. The absence of such links raises suspicions about the subjectivity of your analysis and interpretations. If so, you are moving away from the main goal of your research to stay within transdisciplinary.
8. In my opinion, you should not use words of artistic style, for example, "spiritual odyssey of a believer" (see p. 15 of the manuscript), because you claim to be a scientific analysis in your article.
9. I fully support the hypothesis that *"the mechanics of materialization is analogous to the observer effect in quantum physics, where observation not only measures but also affects the observed system"* (Cranford, 2021). However, the question arises that "the material world is not just a static reality, but also responds to the Divine Will" (see the quote on p. 18 of the manuscript). Is it really so?! Affects or reacts? If the author meant, "affects", I disagree. If I translated the word "reacted" correctly, I can agree. Indeed, the dynamic world responds to the Divine Will in various forms. This fact is obvious!
10. On the village 19 of the manuscript, the venerable Dr. D. Leong confirms his point: "faith, as an expression of alignment with the Divine Will, can change the physical landscape." I note that the word "consistency" is not quite acceptable here, since two equal parties can agree, not the ruler (God) and the subordinate (believers). We suggest using the word "subordination" instead of the word "coordination". The author himself (D. Leong) confirms our proposal with the words: *"the material manifestation can be considered as the result of a divine matrix in which human intentions and divine purpose are deeply intertwined"* (see p. 19 of the manuscript). Divine purpose and human purpose are "intertwined," but not "aligned."
11. I am close to the author's opinion that *"From the quantum point of view, ... when human actions (akin to "observation"*

in quantum theory) are aligned with a higher divine order (symbolized by "commitment to God"), they affect the outcome events in a way that is consistent with the basic structure of reality " (see manuscript on p. 22). In the above quote, Dr. D. Leong contradicts himself and confirms our proposal regarding the term "harmony" between God and believers. A person (believer) has "obligations" to God, not the other way around. Therefore, there is no need to talk about any "coherence". Dr. D. Leong casts doubt on his words; stating, "committing one's actions to a divine purpose can be akin to aligning one's intentions with the fundamental laws and forces that govern reality" (see p. 19 of the manuscript). In the words "may be», the author explains the doubt I mentioned.

12. Dr. D. Leong states, *"The observer effect, a cornerstone of quantum mechanics, explains the importance of the observer–consciousness–in determining the state of a quantum system. This effect shows that the act of observation is not passive; it is essentially an act of creation by which the conscious choice and intention of the observer can influence the probabilistic nature of quantum particles, resulting in the manifestation of one of many potential realities"* (see p. 25 of the manuscript). We fully support this opinion. It is desirable that the honorable Dr. D. Leong would explain why the described effect is only related to the processes of "materialization and prosperity". I think that with the effect of the observer, we can connect literally everything that happens to us and that we plan to make it happen. Perhaps this is what Dr. D. Leong had in mind?
13. If we take into account your statement that "the human mind, equipped with intention and faith, can be regarded as the instrument by which the Divine Will is actualized in the physical world" (see p. 25 of the manuscript), would it be correct to interpret your point as the identification of a person's intention and faith with the Divine will? I have commented on this before. I will repeat briefly. Since man is the fruit of the Divine will, he (man) cannot be considered identical to God. Therefore, it is impossible to talk about the coordination of the actions of Man and God. Another aspect of the interpretation of your quote is that the human mind is a conduit for Divine energy and Cosmic Intelligence. I agree with you here, dear Dr. D. Leong.
14. I think that in a scientific text it is not necessary to use such terms as "interdisciplinary lens", "transformational lens", "canvas that responds to strokes of human will", because we do not have an artistic and journalistic text and we do not popularize ideas, but we have a scientific discussion.
15. On the village 27 of the manuscript, you suggest: "...spiritual doctrines often speak of a universal connection where every action and thought resonates within a larger cosmic structure." Thank you for such an opinion, which I fully support! I wish there were more such thoughts in the scientific discourse about the unification of the tendencies of the spiritual world and its material dimension.
16. Thank you dear Dr. David Leong for the pleasure of reading your article! May the Lord be with us always!

"For we are God's co-workers; you are God's field, God's building" (1 Corinthians 3:9).