

Review of: "Effect of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and vitamin D3 levels on the pathological complete response after neoadjuvant treatment in TNBC and HER2-positive early breast cancer – results of a prospective study"

E.K.S. Limijadi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author should explain why NLR is the best predictor in this study than others inflammation biomarkers in the background, Also why the authors should differentiate the TNBC and Her-2 pos as groups in this study.

The examination in this study had so many. please explain about the radiologic and laboratory examination detail, so these will show reproducibility in examination.

The authors need to check again in the results for table 1 and 2. There are for example mean (SD) 44.02; does 44.02 show mean or SD? please check and complete this part in table 1 and 2. Also, the median (IQR) need to evaluate.

In table 2 analysis menopause between groups show $p=1$. Is it correct? please concern in this part

the results of sensitivity, specificity and the AUC is less than 75%, are there another results show the analysis more than 75% for them? please explain in the discussion about this matter and the meaning of the diagnosis analysis.

this article needs to state the limitation of the study in the last of discussion.