

Review of: "Institutions and Socioeconomic Development: Do Legacies and Proximity Matter? Case Studies of Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand"

Sayed Farrukh Ahmed¹

¹ Daffodil International University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

The “Abstract” part is well-written but lengthy. However, the time period of data collection could be mentioned. Besides, the results of the study could be brief.

Introduction

The “Introduction” part is too lengthy. Most part of it consists of theoretical discussion. There should be a separate “Literature Review” Part. The paper should demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources. However, the literature consulted is rather old. There is a need to update recent literature up to 2022.

Methods

This part is well-written. The methods are employed appropriately and able to meet the objectives of the paper. However, I found it hard to know how recent the data is.

Results

There are inconsistencies in result interpretations with regard to Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4. However, grammatical errors should be minimized.

Discussion

This part is well-written. But, it should be checked with the results of Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4 as mentioned in the “Results” part. However, grammatical errors should be minimized.

Conclusion and Implications

The conclusion adequately ties together the other elements of the paper. This paper needs to be professionally written and edited and its language should be smooth English.

