

# Review of: "Health Outcome and Economic Growth: The Case of Malaria in Nigeria"

Piotr Romaniuk<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Medical University of Silesia

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is pretty interesting, although I would suggest a number of improvements:

1. The language tends to be hard to follow, as authors are using a lot of decorative wording, quite unusual in scientific manuscripts. The essence of that is the abstract. I'd suggest revising the entire article and trying to make the language simpler, so that the potential readers may get the message easier.
2. It would be reasonable to restructure the article so that it is more like the classical structure, including a discussion.
3. The introduction section provides a good conceptualisation for the study, although it is a bit too long, with some truisms included that might be omitted. Still, this is not the crucial remark.
4. It would be good if someone proficient in statistical methods reviewed the paper to verify the applied methodology. From my perspective, it seems fine, with sufficient interpretation of the results provided by the authors.
5. My final remark, the most important one: I am not sure whether the inclusion of a factor relating to malaria is justified in the study. The relationship of this coefficient with other variables remains ambiguous, making it difficult to draw meaningful conclusions and recommendations. Moreover, the authors themselves seem to give it attention inadequate to the fact of its inclusion in the title. As a result, the validity of its inclusion throughout the analysis is diluted. Perhaps it would be reasonable to resign of it and stick to the coefficients related to health expenditure, where, in turn, due to the non-obviousness of the relationships, it would be good to devote some more space to the interpretation of the results obtained.