

Review of: "Examining the Ethical and Geopolitical Context of Global Food Security Policy"

Judyta Kabus¹

¹ Czestochowa University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The review by Dr. Vojtěch Mašek on the report "Critical Comments and Discussion Points to the Draft Report: Collective Action for Ending a Collective Problem" addresses a number of important aspects in today's world, focusing on ethical, political, and geopolitical issues related to food security.

The review opens with a reference to the problematic nature of the report's title, emphasizing the need to reflect on the meaning of "Collective Action for Ending a Collective Problem." Dr. Mašek points out whether the food security problem can really be ended and whether the title is too ambitious.

Further comments concern the concept of a multi-stakeholder approach and its application in the report. The author notes inconsistencies in the use of the term, suggesting a possible shift toward a more paternalistic approach.

The review also raises questions about human rights and democracy in the context of food security, pointing out deficiencies in the analysis of the relationship between the right to food and civil and political freedoms. Dr. Mašek argues that the value of life should be balanced with integral human dignity.

Another point of attention is the proposal to reduce armaments, which the author of the review assesses as ethically and politically controversial. Dr. Mašek notes the need to balance the pursuit of peace with the provision of defense against potential aggressors.

The review concludes with an analysis of the geopolitical aspects of the Russian-Ukrainian conflict and their impact on food security. The author criticizes the one-sided view of the situation, pointing to the broader consequences of Russian aggression and the need to support Ukraine.

Overall, the review is thorough and meticulous in its analysis of the various elements of the report. Dr. Vojtěch Mašek raises important questions about both the food security issue itself and the approach to it in the report under review. His comments are balanced and focused on constructive dialogue and improving the quality of the report.