

Review of: "Antimicrobial Sensitivity of Plant Extracts of *Acacia arabica*, *Prosopis juliflora*, *Abutilon indicum*, and *Bryonia laciniosa* on *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli*"

Iliana Barrera-Martínez

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors did not fully investigate the specific compounds and the mechanism responsible for the antimicrobial activity in each plant extract and against the pathogenic bacteria.

The authors should consider making major revisions by including additional data.

If the authors use the names of bacterial strains again, they should use them in an abbreviated form. In the "Introduction" section, it is also important to demonstrate what the novelty of the proposed research is and the purpose of the research is placed at the end of the introduction.

In subsection 2.2, it was probably weighed for extraction of 50 g of material, and the given value of 50 mg/ml is the concentration of the resulting solution.

The names of the extracts on the capped test tubes shown in Figure 2.2 are not legible.

The extraction yield and at least the qualitative chemical composition of the obtained extracts should be provided in the article.

What did they use as a control? Did they have positive and negative control?

Is the anti-bacterial activity performed in triplicate? If so, where are the deviation values of ZOI?

The entire work needs English formatting to enhance readability.