

Review of: "Comparative Review of Sculpture Programmes in Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology and University Of Education, Winneba"

Elena Pecchioni¹

¹ University of Florence

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper entitled

"Comparative Review of Sculpture Programmes in Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology and University of Education, Winneba"

it is interesting and original; I think it could be fundamental for future studies on this subject; however, I suggest some improvements to the authors:

1. the paper is very long, and many concepts are expressed several times, so to better understand and make the reading lighter and more understandable, I suggest making a table where you can summarize the two methods approached: KNUST and UEW.
2. I suggest always inserting the reference of the figures in the text, which I would call figures not plates.
3. Plate1 is not clear, is it possible to put another figure of the structure?
4. Plate 4 I would call it: clay deposit
5. I suggest amplifying the discomfort that students encounter in the two schools and if there are difference between the two approaches and schools, besides I suggest to insert the suggestions for improving the situations
6. In the conclusions I would try to highlight once again the two approaches of the two schools, advantages disadvantages and future projects