

Review Article

Designing Health Communication Campaigns for the Next Decade

Ayesha Riaz¹

1. University of Kentucky, United States

As digital health technologies and media habits evolve, health communication campaigns must adapt to remain effective, equitable, and credible. This paper reviews research on core characteristics of successful campaigns, evidence-based design, audience-centered messaging, multi-channel delivery, and ongoing evaluation, and provides recommendations for campaign development over the next decade. The review highlights how cultural context shapes health behaviours and how culturally relevant language, symbols, and narratives can improve engagement and impact. It also examines the role of gender norms, with emphasis on masculinity ideals that can either hinder or support health-seeking behaviour and illustrates these dynamics through examples from mental health and smoking cessation campaigns. Recommendations include integrating emerging tools (e.g., mobile health apps, telehealth, social media partnerships, influencer strategies) with traditional channels to reach diverse populations, especially rural and underserved groups. The paper further addresses challenges posed by misinformation and unequal access, arguing for iterative improvement using community input, social listening, and platform analytics. Future campaigns will be most effective when they combine technological innovation with cultural sensitivity and adaptive evaluation.

Correspondence: papers@team.qeios.com — Qeios will forward to the authors

Health communication campaigns are essential for advancing public health because they have an impact on both individual and community-wide behaviours. According to Robinson et al.^[1], public health organizations must use these campaigns to address issues such as mental health, nutrition, smoking, and immunization. The emergence of digital health tools (such as telemedicine and mobile apps), cultural shifts, and technological advancements have all had a major impact on the field. These developments are changing the way messages are conveyed, leading to the emergence of more interactive and customized

campaigns^[2]. Public health initiatives are now more successful and economical when campaigns use data analytics and social media platforms for focused outreach^[3].

The changing environment also emphasizes the importance of cultural sensitivity since various cultural groups have an impact on health behaviors and how people react to health messaging. To guarantee relevance and engagement, campaigns aimed at particular populations need to take these social dimensions into consideration^[4]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that advertisements use culturally appropriate branding and message increase impact and engagement^[5].

With an emphasis on incorporating cutting-edge media technologies, culturally appropriate messaging, and an awareness of how gender ideals, can influence health behaviors, this paper seeks to offer suggestions for creating and carrying out successful health communication campaigns in the ensuing ten years. In order to maximize their effectiveness in promoting positive health outcomes, this paper contends that successful health communication campaigns will need to incorporate culturally relevant messaging, emerging media technologies, and a thorough understanding of target populations, particularly with regard to gender roles and cultural differences.

Methodology

This article adopts a **narrative literature review** approach to examine current and emerging strategies for designing effective health communication campaigns. The purpose of the review is to synthesize influential theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and applied case examples rather than to provide a systematic or exhaustive review of all available literature.

Relevant literature was identified through targeted searches of major academic databases, including **Google Scholar and Scopus**, using combinations of keywords such as *health communication campaigns*, *public health messaging*, *digital health*, *cultural sensitivity*, *gender norms*, *masculinity and health*, and *behavior change*. Additional sources were identified through reference list screening of key publications and landmark campaign evaluations.

Priority was given to **peer-reviewed journal articles**, foundational theoretical works, and widely cited studies relevant to campaign design, cultural and gender-responsive messaging, media strategies, and evaluation frameworks. Grey literature and campaign reports from reputable public health organizations were included where they provided illustrative case studies or practical insights.

Literature selection was guided by relevance to the conceptual focus of the review rather than strict inclusion or exclusion criteria. Findings were synthesized thematically, with attention to recurring concepts related to evidence-based design, audience-centered strategies, multi-channel engagement, cultural inclusivity, and continuous evaluation. This approach supports conceptual integration and forward-looking recommendations for health communication campaigns over the next decade.

I. Characteristics of Effective Health Communication Campaigns

Addressing public health issues, changing behaviors, and increasing awareness all depend on successful health communication efforts. Their influence is increased by crucial elements including audience segmentation, cultural sensitivity, evidence-based tactics, and clear messaging. These strategies optimize reach and promote significant change in a variety of groups by fusing conventional and digital media.

A. Evidence-Based Approaches

Health communication campaigns have a much higher chance of success when they use both qualitative and quantitative data to guide their tactics. Campaign designers can discover unique health hurdles associated with social and cultural variables, such as gender norms, and determine which messages resonate with target audiences by doing research. For instance, research has shown that men's reactions to health messaging can be influenced by cultural norms around masculinity, which calls for customized strategies^[6].

To optimize engagement, strong research also guides the selection of communication channels and message framing. For example, it has been demonstrated that using high-sensation value messaging to target teenagers and young adults works well, especially in antidrug programs^[7]. Additionally, campaigns that use feedback loops and interactive digital technologies are better able to modify their messaging in response to audience reactions, which enhances overall results^[8]. In diverse or disenfranchised communities, the significance of addressing cultural nuances through research-driven insights is particularly evident. For instance, campaigns in underdeveloped nations have profited from tactics that take into account community-specific barriers to health uptake as well as customized behavioral data^[4].

Case study: An effective illustration of an evidence-based program addressing gender-specific health barriers is the "Real Men, Real Depression" campaign^[9]. This program, which was started by the National

Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), specifically targeted the stigma that men frequently experience when talking about mental health concerns because of the ideals of stoicism and independence that are associated with masculinity.

B. Audience-Centered Strategies

Creating effective health messaging necessitates an audience-centered strategy that takes cultural and psychological characteristics into account. Messages that are adapted to the needs, values, and beliefs of the audience have a higher chance of connecting and successfully influencing behavior. Important tactics consist of:

Cultural Relevance: Language, symbols, and narratives used in health communications should be attentive to the customs and norms of the target audience. It has been demonstrated that culturally appropriate messaging increases understanding and engagement^[10].

Psychological Framing: It's important to frame messages to appeal to the audience's psychological characteristics. Gain-framed messages, which emphasize the advantages of healthy behaviors, are more successful in encouraging preventative behaviors, but loss-framed messages, which stress the dangers of inaction, may be more helpful in encouraging prevention behaviors^[11].

Community Engagement: Including members of the community in the creation and dissemination of health messages helps guarantee that they speak to local issues and boost their legitimacy^[12].

Personalization: Using psychographic and demographic information to tailor communications guarantees that they are tailored to each recipient's tastes, which improves results^[13].

II. Cultural Comparisons

Campaigns that highlight the advantages of the family and the community are more successful in societies that place a high priority on communal values. For example, vaccination programs emphasizing the importance of protecting family members who are at risk have proven effective^[14]. Campaigns in individualistic societies, on the other hand, ought to emphasize success and personal empowerment. For instance, messaging on quitting smoking may emphasize the advantages of better physical performance or career success^[15]. These audience-centered tactics highlight how crucial it is to modify health campaigns in order to better suit the target audience's psychological and cultural circumstances.

A. Multi-Channel Engagement

To increase their impact and reach, contemporary health communication initiatives combine digital and traditional media. Reaching particular demographics, such the elderly or people living in rural areas, still requires the use of traditional media like radio and television. On the other hand, younger, tech-savvy audiences are better reached by digital platforms like social media, podcasts, and smartphone apps^[16]. For example, to appeal to masculine ideas that promote changing health-related behaviors, a campaign promoting male health could combine traditional sporting events with social media influencers^[17]. Campaigns are more effective when multi-channel strategies are used because they meet the needs of a wide range of audiences. For instance, by encouraging participation and peer-to-peer help, online health communities can enhance traditional outreach^[18].

B. Evaluation and Feedback Mechanisms

Health communication efforts must have evaluation and feedback systems in place to be successful. In order to ensure that their messages are in line with social and cultural standards, campaigns must gather and take into account audience feedback. For instance, adapting to regional variations in gender norms can improve efficacy and relevance^[19]. From initial campaign design to post-implementation assessment, the Audience–Channel–Message–Evaluation (ACME) framework provides an organized method for campaign evaluation^[20]. Digital platform advanced analytics, such as audience sentiment and engagement metrics, offer useful information for campaign optimization. According to Owen et al.^[21], this method enables campaigns to be responsive and dynamically modify their strategy in real time. Health campaigns can attain increased inclusivity and efficacy by adopting multi-channel tactics and iterative feedback mechanisms, guaranteeing significant impact across a range of demographics.

III. Recommendations for Campaign Design and Implementation

Utilizing cutting-edge tools and techniques that meet the changing needs of the audience is crucial for creating and carrying out effective health communication campaigns. Adopting technology such as eHealth can improve interaction, accessibility, and customisation, allowing campaigns to reach a wider range of demographics. By incorporating these developments into campaign planning, communications are kept current and efficient in achieving public health objectives while also increasing reach and enabling real-time feedback and customization.

A. Embrace New Communications Technologies (eHealth)

Digital Health Platforms

The incorporation of digital health resources, such mobile apps and telehealth services, provides creative approaches to involving audiences in health promotion. Personalized health tracking and behavior modification are made possible by mobile health applications. Applications that let users define wellness objectives, get reminders, and get personalized health advice, for example, have demonstrated high levels of acceptability and engagement across a range of demographics^[22]. Additionally, these platforms enable gamification techniques that appeal to the concepts of empowerment and personal achievement, like goal-setting rewards^[23].

Social Media Influencers

The credibility and reach of health messages can be increased by working with individuals who represent particular masculinity ideals. Direct interaction is made possible via influencer agreements on social media sites. Campaigns that emphasize fitness and healthy living, for instance, have used fitness influencers to encourage physical activity, mental health awareness, and quitting smoking. These partnerships make health messages more accessible and powerful by aligning them with the values and goals of the intended audiences^[24].

Influencer-led social media campaigns have shown increased engagement metrics, including likes, shares, and comments all of which are vital for raising health awareness and encouraging behavior change at the community level^[25]. These tactics demonstrate how social influencers and digital platforms may revolutionize health communication by enhancing the appeal and cultural resonance of messages.

B. Incorporate Traditional Media

Blending Traditional and New Media

To increase the effect and reach of health initiatives, it is essential to integrate digital and traditional media. Targeting large populations with traditional media, like radio and television, is dependable, especially in places with poor internet connectivity. Public service announcements during well-liked TV programs or sporting events, for instance, might be used by campaigns to avoid heart disease to reach

male viewers. Concurrently, complementary digital tactics guarantee interaction with tech-savvy audiences, such as social media posts with relatable male influencers^[26].

Reaching Underserved and Rural Communities

For populations with limited access to digital technologies, traditional media is still essential. In rural areas, where digital penetration is frequently limited, radio and television are especially successful. For example, by highlighting healthy living as a way to be a man, a radio commercial targeting males in rural areas could address diabetes prevention. By using well-known communication channels, these initiatives have successfully reached and involved marginalized populations^[27].

Increasing Impact by Integrating

Campaigns that integrate several channels, both digital and traditional, provide synergistic advantages, with each medium supporting the others. According to studies, combining radio and television programming with social media or internet resources can improve audience engagement and remember of health messages^[28]. Combining conventional and contemporary communication techniques guarantees inclusion and improves the efficacy of health initiatives for a range of audience segments.

C. Cultural Sensitivity and Inclusivity

Health communication campaigns must consider cultural inclusivity to improve engagement and outcomes. Messages tailored to the cultural beliefs, values, and practices of diverse populations lead to better health-related behaviors^[10]. Cultural sensitivity also enhances trust and comprehension, especially in minority or immigrant communities^[29].

Addressing Gender and Cultural Considerations

Effective health campaigns recognize the intersection of gender and culture, as both factors shape health perceptions and behaviors. Gender-responsive messages that align with cultural norms foster acceptance and improve the success of health interventions^[30]. Campaigns that incorporate gender and cultural nuances can better address health disparities and promote equity^[31].

Engaging Communities for Impactful Outreach

Community involvement in the planning and delivery of health campaigns builds trust and ensures that interventions are relevant and well-received. Collaborative efforts with local leaders and organizations enhance cultural relevance and campaign reach^[32]. Campaigns designed with community input lead to more sustainable behavior change and stronger public health outcomes^[29].

IV. Focus on Specific Campaign Types

Studies highlight how crucial it is to match smoking cessation messaging with masculine values like power, authority, and accountability. Men respond better to customized interventions that align with their values^[33].

A. Case Study: Campaigns Sensitive to Gender and Culture

Initiatives such as "Tips from Former Smokers" show how effective it is to incorporate personal stories to encourage quitting. For males who identify masculinity with being a provider or protector, a gender-sensitive modification might place a strong emphasis on family roles and obligations^[34]. Research demonstrates that father-centered programs that encourage smoking cessation as a component of family care duties successfully employ gender-sensitive strategies^[35]. Male-specific smoking cessation techniques can capitalize on values like self-control and competition while presenting quitting as a means of providing for their family.

B. Historical Context and Lessons Learned

Previous campaigns: While examining initiatives such as the "Truth" campaign, which challenged smoking industry methods and social standards by using youth-driven, we see a rebellious anti-smoking messaging trend. By exposing the tobacco industry's deceptive practices and promoting anti-tobacco sentiments, the "Truth" campaign successfully changed the attitudes and behaviors of young people who smoke, which resulted in significant drops in youth smoking rates^[36] and smoking initiation^[37]. By highlighting power and independence in the act of quitting, this strategy can build on the effectiveness of rebellious message while modifying it to appeal to men.

V. Challenges and Considerations

Effective health communication campaigns must address numerous challenges to achieve their goals. Among these, misinformation poses a significant barrier, undermining trust and distorting public understanding of critical health issues. Tackling this challenge requires proactive strategies to ensure accurate information dissemination while countering false narratives in an increasingly complex media landscape.

A. Navigating Misinformation

Misinformation: The dissemination of false information, particularly with regard to gender stereotypes, must be addressed in health initiatives. For instance, the idea that smoking is "manly" has its roots in past marketing campaigns that associated tobacco use with masculinity, strength, and independence. Redefining masculinity in more truthful and healthy ways is necessary to combat these prejudices. The idea that smoking is masculine could be successfully challenged by campaigns that highlight qualities like self-control, accountability, and concern for others, portraying quitting as an act of genuine strength and independence^[38].

Combating Gender Stereotypes: By fusing empowering tales with health information, media campaigns can effectively change public perceptions. Research demonstrating the power of mass media in altering smoking-related behaviors and beliefs can be used to guide campaigns that aim to dispel myths about smoking, particularly when the message content is customized to the characteristics of the target audience^[39]. For instance, presenting role models who reject smoking and exhibit qualities associated with men, such as resilience and responsibility, could successfully change attitudes.

Counteracting Gender Stereotypes: Health programs should also emphasize how quitting smoking has wider societal ramifications. Traditional masculine ideals of providing for and protecting others are well-received by messages that link quitting smoking to safeguarding families or performing caregiving responsibilities. This is consistent with research from programs that promoted family-oriented duties in an effort to modify behavior^[40].

The function of precise health messaging It's crucial to give them constant, clear information about the dangers smoking poses to their health and the advantages of giving it up. To boost credibility and effectiveness, public health initiatives should directly combat disinformation and reinforce messaging

with reliable sources, such as health organizations^[41]. These techniques can effectively encourage quitting smoking by destroying negative stereotypes and advancing a more positive view of masculinity.

B. Equity and Access

To lessen differences in smoking cessation outcomes, it is essential to guarantee fair access to health messaging. Rural and low-income populations are examples of marginalized groups that frequently encounter particular obstacles, such as a lack of healthcare facilities, transportation issues, and cultural stigmas that prevent them from enrolling in cessation programs.

Using the right channels, such as community engagement initiatives, local radio, and culturally relevant digital platforms, is necessary to address these issues. Research indicates that low-burden approaches, such as telehealth services and mobile health clinics, greatly increase access to supports for quitting smoking in rural areas^[42]. Similarly, low-income and minority communities can be effectively engaged by integrating cessation programs into reputable community institutions, such as schools or faith-based organizations^[43]. It has been demonstrated that campaigns aimed at rural people that use gender-sensitive and culturally appropriate content connect with these audiences and address socioeconomic issues^[44].

C. Sustainability of Campaigns

Strategies that promote ongoing community engagement and structural change are necessary to sustain the long-term impact of health communication efforts. In order to consistently question negative standards and encourage healthy habits, campaigns must take use of collaborations with regional influencers, male role models, and cultural leaders. Cultural relevance and ownership of health goals have been successfully ensured by community-driven initiatives that include stakeholders in the planning and execution of campaigns^[45].

Programs that incorporate smoking cessation materials into regular community events or faith-based platforms are two examples of how to encourage long-lasting behavioral change^[46]. Furthermore, to overcome obstacles like resource scarcity and guarantee that programs are flexible enough to adjust to changing community demands, ongoing funding and support are crucial^[47]. By removing obstacles and preserving long-term community involvement, these strategies guarantee that health communication programs continue to be inclusive, effective, and sustainable.

VI. Evaluation and Continuous Improvement

Ongoing evaluation is crucial for refining health communication campaigns and ensuring their effectiveness. Adaptive strategies enable campaigns to respond to changing audience needs, measure impact, and incorporate feedback, fostering continuous improvement in achieving public health objectives.

A. Adaptive Strategies for Effective Campaigns

A key component in preserving the efficacy and pertinence of health communication efforts is routine evaluation. Through methodical data collection and audience involvement, advertisements must adjust to changing society standards, cultural views, and audience demands. Adaptive tactics not only aid in message refinement but also tackle obstacles like gendered attitudes and cultural conventions that could hinder a campaign's effectiveness.

Core Approaches for Continuous Improvement

1. Engaging Target Audiences

In order to identify subtle gender and cultural elements influencing marketing outcomes, direct audience participation is crucial. Social media monitoring, focus groups, and community meetings are useful methods for getting immediate input and directing changes to messaging and distribution methods. Social listening was successfully employed by campaigns such as the Merci Mon Héros initiative to modify material for their target audiences, guaranteeing increased impact and relevance^[48].

2. Culturally Aware Interaction

Campaign effectiveness is greatly increased by culture-sensitive health communication, which matches messaging to the cultural values and health beliefs of the audience. This entails modifying messaging to appeal to the attitudes and lifestyles of the target audience, which has been demonstrated to enhance campaign results^[29]. When addressing cultural norms that impact behavior, including gendered perceptions of health-seeking behavior, tailored interventions are especially crucial.

3. Iterative Improvement

Campaigns can iteratively improve their strategy through continuous feedback loops, which are made possible by routine data gathering and review. For example, it has been determined that incorporating gender and sex concerns into campaign design is essential to improving health outcomes and guaranteeing inclusivity^[49].

B. Sustaining Long-Term Engagement

Campaigns must encourage community involvement and form alliances with reliable influencers, cultural leaders, and role models in order to sustain momentum and bring about long-term change. Campaigns that challenge damaging cultural conventions and connect with the audience are guaranteed by sustained partnership. Furthermore, culture-centered initiatives that employ participatory communication techniques enable communities to take charge of and promote health-related behaviors^[50].

C. Technological Integration and Monitoring

Emerging technology and digital platforms offer strong tools for tracking the effectiveness of campaigns and reaching a variety of demographics. Campaigns that make use of these technologies, (like social media analytics and mobile health applications) have shown notable success in influencing public opinion and changing behavior.

Conclusion

Innovative media technology, cultural awareness, and an awareness of individual values must all be combined in order for health communication initiatives to effectively reach a variety of audiences. By focusing on these components, messages are certain to be inclusive and effective, reaching audiences in ways that are consistent with their experiences and values. Future campaigns must continue to be flexible as health communication changes in tandem with the development of digital technologies and cultural norms. It will be essential to be aware of these shifts in order to promote healthy habits and get past obstacles stemming from antiquated ideas about gender roles. In the end, health communication's future depends on creativity, inclusivity, and questioning long-held beliefs, especially those related to masculinity. Campaigns can encourage long-lasting behavioral change and advance health equity in communities by targeting these variables.

References

1. [△]Robinson MN, Tansil KA, Elder RW, Soler RE, Labre MP, Mercer SL, Eroglu D, Baur C, Lyon-Daniel K, Fridin ger F, Sokler LA, Green LW, Miller T, Dearing JW, Evans WD, Snyder LB, Viswanath KK, Beistle DM, Chervin D D, Bernhardt JM, Rimer BK (2014). "Mass Media Health Communication Campaigns Combined With Health -Related Product Distribution: A Community Guide Systematic Review." *Am J Prev Med.* 47(3):360–371. doi:[10.1016/j.amepre.2014.05.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2014.05.034).
2. [△]Freeman B, Potente S, Rock V, McIver J (2015). "Social Media Campaigns That Make a Difference: What Ca n Public Health Learn From the Corporate Sector and Other Social Change Marketers?" *Public Health Res P ract.* 25(2):e2521517. doi:[10.17061/phrp2521517](https://doi.org/10.17061/phrp2521517).
3. [△]Lister C, Royne M, Payne HE, Cannon B, Hanson C, Barnes M (2015). "The Laugh Model: Reframing and Re branding Public Health Through Social Media." *Am J Public Health.* 105(11):2245–2251. doi:[10.2105/ajph.2015.302669](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2015.302669).
4. [△][♢]Sood S, Shefner-Rogers C, Skinner J (2014). "Health Communication Campaigns in Developing Countrie s." *J Creat Commun.* 9(1):67–84. doi:[10.1177/0973258613517440](https://doi.org/10.1177/0973258613517440).
5. [△]Basu A, Wang J (2009). "The Role of Branding in Public Health Campaigns." *J Commun Manag.* 13(1):77–9 1. doi:[10.1108/13632540910931409](https://doi.org/10.1108/13632540910931409).
6. [△]Evans WD, Uhrig J, Davis K, McCormack L (2009). "Efficacy Methods to Evaluate Health Communication a nd Marketing Campaigns." *J Health Commun.* 14(4):315–330. doi:[10.1080/10810730902872234](https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730902872234).
7. [△]Harrington NG, Palmgreen PC, Donohew L (2014). "Programmatic Research to Increase the Effectiveness o f Health Communication Campaigns." *J Health Commun.* 19(12):1472–1480. doi:[10.1080/10810730.2014.954082](https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2014.954082).
8. [△]Ceretti E, Covolo L, Cappellini F, Nanni A, Sorosina S, Beatini A, Taranto M, Gasparini A, De Castro P, Brusa ferro S, Gelatti U (2022). "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Internet-Based Communication for Public Health: Systematic Review." *J Med Internet Res.* 24(9):e38541. doi:[10.2196/38541](https://doi.org/10.2196/38541).
9. [△]Kersting K (2005). "Men and Depression: Battling Stigma Through Public Education." *Monitor Psychol.* 36: 66–68. <https://www.apa.org/monitor/jun05/stigma>.
10. [△][♢]Kreuter MW, McClure SM (2004). "The Role of Culture in Health Communication." *Annu Rev Public Heal th.* 25(1):439–455. doi:[10.1146/annurev.publhealth.25.101802.123000](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.25.101802.123000).
11. [△]Gallagher KM, Updegraff JA (2012). "Health Message Framing Effects on Attitudes, Intentions, and Behavi or: A Meta-Analytic Review." *Ann Behav Med.* 43(1):101–116. doi:[10.1007/s12160-011-9308-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-011-9308-7).

12. [△]Viswanath K (2006). "Public Communications and Its Role in Reducing and Eliminating Health Disparities." *Institute of Medicine*. 215–253. <https://europepmc.org/books/n/nap11602/nap11602.app7?extid=21850786&src=med>.
13. [△]Noar SM, Benac CN, Harris MS (2007). "Does Tailoring Matter? Meta-Analytic Review of Tailored Print Health Behavior Change Interventions." *Psychol Bull*. 133(4):673. <https://psycnet.apa.org/buy/2007-09203-006>.
14. [△]Chikovore J, Hart G, Kumwenda M, Chipungu GA, Desmond N, Corbett L (2014). "Control, Struggle, and Emergent Masculinities: A Qualitative Study of Men's Care-Seeking Determinants for Chronic Cough and Tuberculosis Symptoms in Blantyre, Malawi." *BMC Public Health*. 14(1). doi:[10.1186/1471-2458-14-1053](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1053).
15. [△]Milner A, Shields M, King T (2019). "The Influence of Masculine Norms and Mental Health on Health Literacy Among Men: Evidence From the Ten to Men Study." *Am J Mens Health*. 13(5). doi:[10.1177/1557988319873532](https://doi.org/10.1177/1557988319873532).
16. [△]Tanvatanakul V, Amado J, Saowakontha S (2007). "Management of Communication Channels for Health Information in the Community." *Health Educ J*. 66(2):173–178. doi:[10.1177/0017896907076755](https://doi.org/10.1177/0017896907076755).
17. [△]Ahmed K (2017). "The Impact of Multichannel Engagement Tools on the Quality of Care Provided by a Health Care Professional." *Rev Adm Roraima [RORAIMA MANAGEMENT REVIEW]*. 7(1):81–98. doi:[10.18227/2237-8057rarrv7i1.4057](https://doi.org/10.18227/2237-8057rarrv7i1.4057).
18. [△]Mirzaei T, Esmaeilzadeh P (2021). "Engagement in Online Health Communities: Channel Expansion and Social Exchanges." *Inf Manag*. 58(1):103404. doi:[10.1016/j.im.2020.103404](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2020.103404).
19. [△]Swan EL, Dahl AJ, Peltier JW (2019). "Health-Care Marketing in an Omni-Channel Environment." *J Res Interact Mark*. 13(4):602–618. doi:[10.1108/jrim-03-2019-0039](https://doi.org/10.1108/jrim-03-2019-0039).
20. [△]Ledford CJW (2012). "Changing Channels." *Soc Mark Q*. 18(3):175–186. doi:[10.1177/1524500412460671](https://doi.org/10.1177/1524500412460671).
21. [△]Owen JE, Curran M, Bantum EO, Hanneman R (2016). "Characterizing Social Networks and Communication Channels in a Web-Based Peer Support Intervention." *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw*. 19(6):388–396. doi:[10.1089/cyber.2015.0359](https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2015.0359).
22. [△]Eppes E, Augustyn M, Gross S, Vernon P, Caulfield L, Paige D (2022). "Engagement With and Acceptability of Digital Media Platforms for Use in Improving Health Behaviors Among Vulnerable Families: Systematic Review." *J Med Internet Res*. 25:e40934. doi:[10.2196/40934](https://doi.org/10.2196/40934).
23. [△]Crosswell L (2019). "The Doctor in My Pocket: Examining Mobile Approaches to Personal Wellbeing." *Perspect Public Health*. 140:101–93. doi:[10.1177/1757913918823808](https://doi.org/10.1177/1757913918823808).

24. [△]Pourkarim M, Nayebezhadeh S, Alavian SM, Hataminasab SH (2022). "Digital Marketing: A Unique Multidisciplinary Approach Towards the Elimination of Viral Hepatitis." *Pathogens*. **11**(6):626. doi:[10.3390/pathogens11060626](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11060626).
25. [△]Shi J, Poorisat T, Salmon CT (2018). "The Use of Social Networking Sites (SNSs) in Health Communication Campaigns: Review and Recommendations." *Health Commun*. **33**(1):49–56. doi:[10.1080/10410236.2016.1242035](https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2016.1242035).
26. [△]Wakefield MA, Loken B, Hornik RC (2010). "Use of Mass Media Campaigns to Change Health Behaviour." *Lancet*. **376**(9748):1261–1271. doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60809-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60809-4).
27. [△]Schou L (1987). "Use of Mass-Media and Active Involvement in a National Dental Health Campaign in Scotland." *Comm Dent Oral Epid*. **15**(1):14–18. doi:[10.1111/j.1600-0528.1987.tb00473.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1987.tb00473.x).
28. [△]Adzharuddin NA (2013). "Marketing Mix Through the Integration of New and Traditional Media: A Review of Recent Literature." *Int J Soc Entrepreneurship Innov*. **2**(1):3. doi:[10.1504/ijsei.2013.052756](https://doi.org/10.1504/ijsei.2013.052756).
29. ^{a, b, c}Pența MA, Băban A (2018). "Message Framing in Vaccine Communication: A Systematic Review of Published Literature." *Health Commun*. **33**(3):299–314. doi:[10.1080/10410236.2016.1266574](https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2016.1266574).
30. [△]Sherman DK, Uskul AK, Updegraff JA (2011). "The Role of the Self in Responses to Health Communications: A Cultural Perspective." *Self Identity*. **10**(3):284–294. doi:[10.1080/15298868.2010.517029](https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2010.517029).
31. [△]Napier AD, Ancarno C, Butler B, Calabrese J, Chater A, Chatterjee H, Woolf K (2014). "Culture and Health." *Lancet*. **384**(9954):1607–1639.
32. [△]Yin L, Bickmore T (2018). "Culturally-Aware Healthcare Systems." *Intelligent Systems Reference Library, Advances in Culturally-Aware Intelligent Systems and in Cross-Cultural Psychological Studies*. 97–110. doi:[10.1007/978-3-319-67024-9_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67024-9_5).
33. [△]Bottorff JL, Haines-Saah R, Kelly MT, Oliffe JL, Torchalla J, Poole N, Greaves L, Robinson CA, Ensom MH, Okoli TC, Phillips JC (2014). "Gender, Smoking and Tobacco Reduction and Cessation: A Scoping Review." *Int J Equity Health*. **13**(1). doi:[10.1186/s12939-014-0114-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-014-0114-2).
34. [△]Oliffe J, Bottorff J, Sarbit G (2012). "Supporting Fathers' Efforts to Be Smoke-Free: Program Principles." *Can J Nurs Res*. **44**(3):64–82. [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(14\)61603-2/fulltext?eventId=login&rss=yes](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(14)61603-2/fulltext?eventId=login&rss=yes).
35. [△]Johnson JL, Oliffe JL, Kelly MT, Bottorff JL, LeBeau K (2009). "The Readings of Smoking Fathers: A Receptio n Analysis of Tobacco Cessation Images." *Health Commun*. **24**(6):532–547. doi:[10.1080/10410230903104921](https://doi.org/10.1080/10410230903104921).
36. [△]Farrelly MC, Davis KC, Haviland ML, Messeri P, Healton CG (2005). "Evidence of a Dose—Response Relationship Between “Truth” Antismoking Ads and Youth Smoking Prevalence." *Am J Public Health*. **95**(3):425–43

1. doi:[10.2105/ajph.2004.049692](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2004.049692).
37. [△]Farrelly MC, Nonnemaker J, Davis KC, Hussin A (2009). "The Influence of the National Truth® Campaign on Smoking Initiation." *Am J Prev Med.* 36(5):379–384. doi:[10.1016/j.amepre.2009.01.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.01.019).
38. [△]DeFronzo J, Pawlak R (1994). "Gender Differences in the Determinants of Smoking." *J Drug Issues.* 24(3):507–516. doi:[10.1177/002204269402400310](https://doi.org/10.1177/002204269402400310).
39. [△]Durkin S, Brennan E, Wakefield M (2012). "Mass Media Campaigns to Promote Smoking Cessation Among Adults: An Integrative Review." *Tob Control.* 21(2):127–138. doi:[10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050345](https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050345).
40. [△]Lewis S, Sims M, Richardson S, Langley T, Szatkowski L, McNeill A, Gilmore AB (2015). "The Effectiveness of Tobacco Control Television Advertisements in Increasing the Prevalence of Smoke-Free Homes." *BMC Public Health.* 15(1). doi:[10.1186/s12889-015-2207-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2207-2).
41. [△]Kowitz SD, Sheeran P, Jarman KL, Ranney LM, Schmidt AM, Noar SM, Huang L, Goldstein AO (2019). "Cigarette Constituent Health Communications for Smokers: Impact of Chemical, Imagery, and Source." *Nicotine Tob Res.* 21(6):841–845. doi:[10.1093/ntr/ntx226](https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntx226).
42. [△]Ramsey AT, Baker TB, Pham G, Stoneking F, Smock N, Colditz GA, James AS, Liu J, Bierut LJ, Chen LS (2020). "Low Burden Strategies Are Needed to Reduce Smoking in Rural Healthcare Settings: A Lesson From Cancer Clinics." *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 17(5):1728. doi:[10.3390/ijerph17051728](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051728).
43. [△]Rosenthal L, Carroll-Scott A, Earnshaw VA, Sackey N, O'Malley SS, Santilli A, Ickovics JR (2013). "Targeting Cessation: Understanding Barriers and Motivations to Quitting Among Urban Adult Daily Tobacco Smokers." *Addict Behav.* 38(3):1639–1642. doi:[10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.09.016).
44. [△]Coughlin S (2020). "Smoking Cessation Treatment Among Rural Individuals." *Cardiovasc Dis Med.* 1. [http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8452264/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8452264/).
45. [△]Twyman L, Bonevski B, Paul C, Bryant J (2014). "Perceived Barriers to Smoking Cessation in Selected Vulnerable Groups: A Systematic Review of the Qualitative and Quantitative Literature." *BMJ Open.* 4(12):e006414. doi:[10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006414](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006414).
46. [△]Sharma P, Tranby B, Kamath C, Brockman T, Roche A, Hammond C, Brewer LC, Sinicropo P, Lenhart N, Quade B, Abuan N, Halom M, Staples J, Patten C (2023). "A Christian Faith-Based Facebook Intervention for Smoking Cessation in Rural Communities (FAITH-CORE): Protocol for a Community Participatory Development Study." *JMIR Res Protoc.* 12:e52398. doi:[10.2196/52398](https://doi.org/10.2196/52398).
47. [△]Hutcheson TD, Greiner KA, Ellerbeck EF, Jeffries SK, Mussulman LM, Casey GN (2008). "Understanding Smoking Cessation in Rural Communities." *J Rural Health.* 24(2):116–124. doi:[10.1111/j.1748-0361.2008.00147.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-0361.2008.00147.x).

48. [△]Silva M, Walker J, Portillo E, Dougherty L (2022). "Strengthening the Merci Mon Héros Campaign Through Adaptive Management: Application of Social Listening Methodology." *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* **8**(6):e35663. doi:[10.2196/35663](https://doi.org/10.2196/35663).
49. [△]Day S, Mason R, Lagosky S, Rochon PA (2016). "Integrating and Evaluating Sex and Gender in Health Research." *Health Res Policy Sys.* **14**(1). doi:[10.1186/s12961-016-0147-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-016-0147-7).
50. [△]Basu A, Dutta MJ (2009). "Sex Workers and HIV/AIDS: Analyzing Participatory Culture-Centered Health Communication Strategies." *Hum Commun Res.* **35**(1):86–114. doi:[10.1111/j.1468-2958.2008.01339.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2008.01339.x).

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.