

Review of: "A Metaphoric Exploration of Objective Constructivism"

Xia Zhao¹

¹ Jiangsu University of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- The paper delves into the ongoing debate between constructivist and realistic positions in epistemology, providing an exploration of key aspects. Several constructive comments can be made regarding the paper:
- Firstly, the clarity of argumentation could be improved. While the introduction sets the stage for the debate, a more explicit articulation of the central argument, including an early statement of the paper's main thesis, would enhance reader comprehension.
- Secondly, terminology clarification is essential. While the paper attempts to define constructivist and objectivist positions, a more concise overview would benefit reader understanding.
- The literature review, while referencing Finkelde and Livingston (2020) and Davidson (2001), might be strengthened with a more extensive examination of relevant scholarly works. Including references to prominent scholars in the field could provide a broader context. In addition, update the literature by including the most recent research findings from the past three years.
- The methodological explanation introduces the use of argumentation by analogy and metaphor, drawing from Bartha's concept of parallel reasoning. However, a more detailed explanation of this method and its application to the epistemological debate would enhance reader comprehension.
- The paper delves into axiomatic algebraic quantum field theory, which may be challenging for readers unfamiliar with the subject. Providing brief explanations or references to aid in understanding these technical aspects would be beneficial.
- While discussing the Doplicher-Roberts reconstruction theorem, the paper could elaborate on its significance and impact on the constructivist-realistic debate. Clarifying how this theorem contributes to the resolution of the philosophical clash would add depth to the discussion.
- The metaphorical application of the theory to epistemology is intriguing, but the paper could further elucidate the implications of this metaphor and its relevance to the broader philosophical discourse.
- Regarding the conclusion, while it effectively ties the arguments together, a concise summary of key points and a restatement of the main thesis would reinforce the paper's contribution to the constructivist-realistic dialogue.
- In terms of language and style, the paper is scholarly, but some sentences are complex and may be challenging for readers. Striving for clarity and simplicity without sacrificing depth would enhance accessibility.
- Lastly, considering potential limitations or areas for further exploration in the conclusion could stimulate future research

and discussion in the field. Addressing these points would contribute to the paper's overall accessibility and impact in engaging with the complex philosophical debate.