

Review of: "A Perspective for Economic and Social Unfoldings of AI"

Bhupal Bhattacharya¹

¹ Raiganj University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

A Perspective for Economic and Social Unfoldings of AI appears to have some shortcomings. Firstly, the objectives of the article are not entirely clear, as the author provides a list of items that they believe constitute a solution to various AI domains, but does not specify what the overall aim of the research is. This lack of clarity can make it difficult for readers to understand the purpose of the article and follow its arguments.

The article is full of bold statements that do not seem to be adequately supported by evidence. In research works, it is important to provide strong evidence to support arguments and avoid making bold claims without adequate support. This can reduce the credibility of the research and limit its impact.

Most of the references cited are outdated. While it is important to build on existing research, using outdated references can limit the credibility of the research and suggest that the author has not kept up with current developments in the field.

The quality of some of the references used in the article is not strong enough to support the author's views. It is important to use reputable sources that have been peer-reviewed and are widely accepted in the field to support arguments in research works.

The conclusion of the research needs to be updated in line with the research discussion. The conclusion should summarize the main findings of the research and provide insights into the implications of these findings for the field of AI and its potential impact on society.

The article presents a promising foundation for future research in the field of AI and its potential impact on society. However, to improve its quality and impact, the author should clarify the objectives of the research, provide stronger evidence to support arguments, use more recent and reputable references, and update the conclusion to reflect the research discussion.