Review of: "Safe City Concept in Smart Planning"

Alejandro López-González[1]

1 Universidad de León

I review an intesting paper about Smart cities, but i think that the autors should improve both conceptuals and formal aspects. First, the cities chosed are representatives from indian urban reality, but there are too little information, only the first problems suffered by the cities and the main measures to solved them. The Indian cities are too big, and I think that would be more useful choose les cities and make a more detailed treatise on those. On the other hand, i don't understand the role of Sidney and Tokio, what's the goal of introduce these cities so different to indian ones? if the authors introduced these cities to show a model for improving the indian performance in the urban planning, it seems that achieved that goal. In other words, i recommend that authors focussed in less indian cities, offered more information about their context, institutional context, and urban policies. Also recommend they explain clearly why and so that taking other Smart examples abroad India.

Formal issue relevant are the citation, i observed qoutes without its reference, and viceversa. In academic works this aspects are relevants, the authors should be careful in this aspect.

Best regards

Alejandro López González