

Review of: "Unraveling Populism: Senator Fraser Anning and the Australian Political Landscape"

Antonella Seddone¹

¹ University of Turin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have read the article with interest. I encounter challenges when assessing it. The manuscript exhibits a structure and argumentative style that diverge significantly from those typically found in a scientific article. The study lacks a comprehensive literature review as the bibliography only references a single text. The case study under discussion appears to lack integration within the broader framework of existing studies on populism. From this perspective, assessing the extent to which this manuscript enhances comprehension of the theme of populism proves challenging. The text presents a detailed and precise analysis of the case study, but it has a descriptive approach. However, its effectiveness in indicating added value is limited due to its disconnection from the existing literature. I also observe that certain sections of the text exhibit a normative tone. A revision of the text necessitates a more precise examination of the theme of populism, elucidating it with reference to existing literature. Subsequently, the analysis of the case study should be conducted, emphasizing unique elements and specific features. Moreover, it is advisable to include details about the methodological approach employed. When examining narratives, it is essential to elucidate the criteria for selecting cases for analysis, the methodologies utilized, and the sources of information.