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Dear Authors

Abstract: The abstract is well-written. However, it can still be improved by outlining information related to the design of research and the way data were collected and analyzed. Then, it is suggested to outline the keywords in alphabetically order.

Introduction: This section provides ample information about global and internationalization. However, the authors can make it more comprehensive by explicitly outlining the study gap and research objective/research questions. The gap may be there, but it needs to be explicit in order to help readers understand the manuscript.

Method: There is no a particular section on methodology. It is recommended to amend the manuscript by including this section. It is pivotal as it explains the procedures of the research, started from research design up to research ethics.

Result and Discussion: This section only focused on the issue of internationalization, but limited information given on the issue of autonomy. Having proportional information on internationalization and autonomy is advised. In addition, The representation of the section can also be improved. When the authors separate result and discussion, make sure to write them appropriately. All data (e.g., numeric and verbatim) are included under result heading, not discussion. In the discussion part, the authors may explain the findings and relate them with existing related theories/previous studies. It is not suggested to include interview quotations/excerpts in discussion part. Moreover, the discussion can be comprehensively outline by proving more current related references/previous studies.

Conclusion: This section is the summary of the research/manuscript. This correspond with research objective/research question addressed in introduction part. It is not recommended to provide interview quotation/excerpt in this section. To make it more comprehensive, the authors may outline the implication of the study and recommendations.

Another suggestion is to check the clarity of some sentences, spelling, punctuation and capitalization issues.