

Review of: "Theorizing the Normalization of Plantation Agriculture in Colombia"

Muthu Baskaran Sivapatham

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Paper titled "Theorizing the Normalization of Plantation Agriculture in Colombia" was reviewed for approval and the following observations were found for corrections.

1. Abstract:

The abstract provides a concise overview of the paper's scope and objectives. However, enhancing its clarity by explicitly mentioning the specific disciplinary intersections and key terms, such as Plantationocene, would make it more accessible to a broader audience.

2. Introduction:

The introduction effectively contextualizes the study within critical agrarian perspectives. To enhance its impact, consider streamlining the introduction by focusing more explicitly on the gap or problem addressed, which leads seamlessly into the objectives.

3. Literature Review:

The literature review adeptly synthesizes key concepts from cognitive sciences, critical agrarian studies, and sociology. To augment its strength, deepen the engagement with contemporary debates in each field, especially recent contributions related to the Plantationocene.

4. Methodology:

The methodology section is robust, particularly in adopting a critical realist stance. Yet, there's room to elaborate on specific methodological choices, especially concerning case selection, to provide readers with a clearer understanding of how the research design aligns with the study's objectives.

5. Findings:

The findings section is insightful, offering a nuanced analysis of the normalization process. For added clarity, consider breaking down the findings into sub-sections, aligning them with the theoretical frameworks applied, which would facilitate a more systematic comprehension.

6. Discussion:

The discussion admirably connects findings to theoretical frameworks, fostering a deeper understanding of the intricacies involved. Strengthening the discussion by explicitly addressing the implications of findings for existing theories would elevate its academic significance.

7. Conclusion:

The conclusion aptly synthesizes key insights, emphasizing the significance of human agency in resisting normalization processes. To enhance its impact, consider reiterating the broader implications of the study and potential avenues for future research.

8. References:

The references are extensive, demonstrating a commendable engagement with existing literature. Addressing minor formatting and completeness issues, as outlined, would further elevate the quality of this section.

Recommendations for Improvement:

Abstract and Introduction:

- Refine the abstract to explicitly highlight disciplinary intersections and key terms.
- Streamline the introduction to focus on the research problem and objectives.

Literature Review:

- Deepen engagement with recent contributions in each disciplinary field, especially those related to Plantationocene.

Methodology:

- Elaborate on specific methodological choices, particularly in case selection.

Findings:

- Consider breaking down findings into sub-sections aligned with applied theoretical frameworks.

Discussion:

- Explicitly address implications of findings for existing theories.

Conclusion:

- Reiterate broader implications of the study and suggest avenues for future research.

References:

- Ensure consistency in citation format.
- Check for completeness and currency.
- Provide stable URLs or DOI links for online sources.
- Include page numbers for precise referencing.
- Consider annotating key references.
- Organize references alphabetically for clarity.

In conclusion, the paper is a commendable contribution, and addressing these recommendations will fortify its academic impact and accessibility.