

# Review of: "Understanding on Social Utopia: West to East"

Jeetumoni Basumatary<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cotton University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

"Understanding on Social Utopia: West to East" is an interesting attempt to read Rabindranath Tagore's philosophy in relation to that of Rousseau. There are a few points that need to be considered or taken care of, which perhaps would enhance the essay. Here they are:

1. The following line at the end of the first paragraph seems to appear abruptly. "One can say that thoughts regarding the spiritual aspects of religion are irrelevant and irrational ..." Perhaps a line or two could be added prior, in order to develop the given line properly.
2. It is not very clear what the author is attempting to say in the passage, "We could have simply been content to be able to feed ourselves and procreate for the survival of our species... It had just been mentioned that logic barely sees any profit in matters like spirituality – even though this assertion is to be argued against."
3. As to the last line in the above-mentioned passage, nowhere above has it been "just" "mentioned that logic barely sees profit..."
4. The author could perhaps add a line or two to explain what they mean by Rousseau's "Victorian Style" of writing.
5. The date for Tagore's Hibbert Lectures could be added.
6. "If experience is the path to mental expansion and this experience has been witnessed ... why was the latter part of the previous sentence asserted? Why has it been indicated that we have not learned and driven towards mental excellence?" In this passage, the "previous sentence" referred to couldn't be located. A link appears to be missing between this passage that begins a new paragraph and the previous.
7. Was Rousseau's philosophy at the heart of the 1970s Hippie Movement? If so, sources must be quoted.
8. Apart from the above points, there are a few grammatical and syntactical errors, which perhaps the author would themselves discover on a second reading of the essay.