

Peer Review

# Review of: "Critical Appraisal of Exposure Studies on E-Cigarette Aerosols Generated by High-Powered Devices"

Peter Hajek<sup>1</sup>

1. Queen Mary, University of London, London, United Kingdom

This is an important guide for future studies using the InExpose system and an essential caveat to interpreting studies that overheated e-liquid.

I only have a minor suggestion. Saying in the Abstract and Intro that the 40 studies were selected from extensive literature implies cherry-picking. The 40 studies were, in fact, identified via a fairly rigorous search procedure. This is likely a language issue, as there are other formulations that could benefit from editing by an English-speaking colleague.

The article is a significant contribution to advancing research on the safety of vaping.

## Declarations

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.