

# Review of: "A Study of the Urban Nightlife of Delhi and its Impact on Safety"

Luís Carazza<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author,

I have completed the review of the manuscript titled "A Study of the Urban Nightlife of Delhi and its Impact on Safety". Overall, I find the study's topic to be of significance and potential interest to the journal's readership. However, there are several areas that require attention before the manuscript can be considered suitable for publication.

**Insufficient Literature Review:** The manuscript's current state reveals a noticeable gap in the literature review. While the author briefly touches upon relevant prior studies, there is a need for a more comprehensive and critical review of the existing literature. A thorough literature review would not only provide a stronger theoretical foundation for the study but also help readers better understand the context and significance of the research problem. I recommend that the author expand this section by including key works and discussing their relevance to the current study.

**Lack of Clear Methodology:** The methodology section of the manuscript lacks clarity and detail. A successful research paper must outline its methodology in a way that allows for reproducibility and understanding. The current presentation leaves readers with several unanswered questions regarding the research design, data collection, and analysis process. I suggest that the author provide a step-by-step account of the research methodology, including details about the data sources, variables, and statistical techniques used. This will enhance the paper's credibility and allow readers to assess the validity of the findings.

**Improvement Needed in Writing and Formatting:** The manuscript's writing and formatting require significant improvement. The text appears to lack coherence in certain sections, making it difficult to follow the author's arguments. Additionally, there are instances of grammatical errors and awkward phrasing throughout the manuscript. A thorough proofreading and editing process is necessary to ensure a polished and professional presentation. Furthermore, attention to proper formatting guidelines (e.g., citation style, headings, figures, tables) will enhance the overall readability and visual appeal of the paper.

In conclusion, while the manuscript shows promise in terms of its research topic, it falls short in terms of literature review, methodology, and presentation. Addressing these three main points will significantly enhance the manuscript's quality and its suitability for publication. I recommend that the authors revise the manuscript taking into consideration the feedback provided.

Thank you for considering my evaluation of the manuscript. Please do not hesitate to reach out if you have any further questions or require additional clarification.

Best Regards,

Luís Carazza