

Review of: "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia"

Auditya Purwandini Sutarto

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting study that specifically examines the situation of street vendors in Cali, Colombia. Despite prior abundant studies focuses on the COVID-19 pandemic, this study stands out by offering unique insights into the effects of the pandemic and governmental responses on underserved and vulnerable population in a country with civil conflict. This study reflects a comprehensive work, deserving a recognition. Here my suggestions to improve the quality and clarity of the manuscript:

1. METHOD: Please describe the roles of the variables within the study, identifying which serve as predictors, confounders, and moderators
2. METHOD: Could you specify the stepwise strategy employed in the analysis? Forward or backward selection?
3. METHOD: A more detailed description of the data analysis is required, particularly regarding the use of hierarchical regression to evaluate the moderator effect. This aspect seems to have been omitted.
4. RESULTS: How to build models 1-4 requires further description, as tracing the process from supplemental table 8 is challenging.
5. RESULTS: Presenting the descriptive characteristics of the sample as a table may enhance readability
6. RESULTS: I wonder what is the purpose of "Relationship between time use and business performance" as it was not explicitly stated as a specific goal of the study.
7. RESULTS: While Figures 1-6 lack a Y-axis, I suggest that the information from these figures could be more succinctly presented in the text. Visualizing each relationship with individual figures may be somewhat redundant.
8. DISCUSSION: This section could also benefit from a more focused examination of the significant predictors and moderators for all dimensions of subjective well-being.