

# Review of: "The Students and Faculty Perception of Digital Citizenship Practices in Distance Learning Environment – The Case Study of Al-Quds Open University"

Yamini Chandra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Narsee Monjee Institute of Management Studies

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

First of all, I want to congratulate author for writing on a good concept. Here are some of my observations for paper:

The duration of data collection and the gap between quantitative and qualitative data are to be specified. A description of the tools which were used to collect both data must be mentioned to establish the feasibility and reliability of the representative sample, findings, etc. is missing. The author should mention the feasibility of the survey statements and interview questions, and whether the author has used an already published standardized tool or developed it separately for this study. And justification.

Points summarised in 7.2.1 are based on interviews or it is a combination of survey responses? Subheadings must be mentioned to understand this. While reading point no. 4 as a reader it shows the data summarises from the student's perspective rather than faculties. If these observations are stated by faculties, then it needs to be clearly stated whether during the interview it was kept as part of closed-ended questions or open-ended, to establish conclusions.

The author has not mentioned how data was analyzed, because the study claims to bring quantitative and qualitative aspects. Linking conclusions with hypotheses and research questions are missing.

Survey analysis must be explained clearly and some similarities/differences between both findings should be explained. The gaps and limitations of the study need to be highlighted clearly. How this study will contribute to the field is missing.

Good Luck!!