

Review of: "Algorithm for Planning Flexible Outcome-Based Engineering Curricula to Meet the Employee Needs of a Cluster of Companies"

Mangaluru Poornesh¹

¹ Independent researcher

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. While the abstract outlines a significant issue in engineering education and suggests a comprehensive solution involving a flexible, outcome-based curriculum, it could benefit from greater clarity in terms of the specific methodology used in the research.
2. The statement clearly highlights an important issue in engineering education—rigidity in traditional curricula and the lack of recognition for practical learning experiences like internships and on-the-job training. However, it could benefit from a more precise articulation of the problem's scope and the implications of the proposed solution. Specifically, the statement mentions that traditional courses "will not be successful," but it would be helpful to provide more evidence or context to explain *why* this is the case—whether through data, industry reports, or trends. Additionally, terms like "outstanding graduates" could be more clearly defined in terms of specific skills or competencies that are expected from these graduates.