

Review of: "OpenAI ChatGPT Generated Content and Similarity Index: A study of selected terms from the Library & Information Science (LIS)"

Garima Agrawal¹

¹ Arizona State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author has chosen a very interesting topic. Here are some suggestions which can help improve the article.

1. The abstract needs improvement. It is not very clear from the abstract that what the author is trying to accomplish in the paper. Should clearly state the objective of paper.
2. Literature review : "Apart from that, there has been a lot of work on applications of Chat DPT on various topics like Academic performance (Alshater, 2022),... - typo here DPT should be 'GPT'
3. In Results section, author should elaborate and interpret the results. What would 13% similarity mean to a user?
4. Add reference of tool Turnitin.